arXiv:1610.09771v1 [math.CO] 31 Oct 2016

INTERPLAY BETWEEN NOTIONS OF ADDITIVE AND MULTIPLICATIVE LARGENESS

VITALY BERGELSON AND DANIEL GLASSCOCK

Abstract. Many natural notions of additive and multiplicative largeness arise from results in Ramsey theory. In this paper, we explain the relationships between these notions for subsets of $\mathbb{N}$ and, more generally, semirings. We show that multiplicative largeness begets additive largeness in three ways and give a collection of examples demonstrating the optimality of these results. We give a variety of applications arising from the connection between additive and multiplicative largeness. For example, we show that given any $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$, any finite set with fewer than n elements in a sufficiently large finite field can be translated so that each of its elements becomes a non-zero k^{th} power. We also prove a theorem concerning Diophantine approximation along multiplicatively piecewise syndetic subsets of $\mathbb{N}$ and a theorem showing that subsets of positive upper Banach density in certain multiplicative sub-semigroups of $\mathbb{N}$ of zero density contain arbitrarily long arithmetic progressions. Along the way, we develop a new characterization of upper Banach density in a wide class of amenable semigroups and make explicit the uniformity in recurrence theorems from measure theoretic and topological dynamics.

1. Introduction

1.1. **Background.** A classic result of van der Waerden [vdW] states that one cell of any finite partition of the positive integers $\mathbb{N}$ contains arbitrarily long arithmetic progressions. A far-reaching generalization by Rado [Rad] characterizes those systems of linear equations to which at least one cell of any finite partition of $\mathbb{N}$ contains a solution. Underlying each of these foundational results in Ramsey theory are notions of "additive largeness," and for each of these notions of largeness there are two essential theorems: *at least one cell of any partition of* $\mathbb{N}$ *is additively large*, and *additively large sets contain the sought-after combinatorial configurations.*

The pertinent notions of largeness underlying van der Waerden's theorem are syndeticity, piecewise syndeticity, and additive upper Banach density. In the case of Rado's theorem, the notions of centrality and IP structure play a fundamental role. We will be concerned with each of these notions in this work, so we define them now for subsets $\mathbb{N}$.

($\mathcal{S}$) $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ is *syndetic* if there exists a finite set $F \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ for which

$$A - F = \bigcup_{f \in F} A - f = \left\{ a - f \;\middle|\; a \in A,\ f \in F \right\} \supseteq \mathbb{N}.$$

($\mathcal{PS}$) $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ is *piecewise syndetic* if there exists a finite set $F \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ for which the set $A - F$ has non-empty intersection with every set satisfying ($\mathcal{S}$).

The first author gratefully acknowledges the support of the NSF under grant DMS-1500575.

($\mathcal{D}$) $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ has positive *additive upper Banach density* if

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \max_{m\in\mathbb{N}} \frac{\left|A \cap (m + \{1, \dots, n\})\right|}{n} > 0. \tag{1.1}$$

($\mathcal{AP}$) $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ is *AP-rich* if it contains arbitrarily long arithmetic progressions.

($\mathcal{C}$) $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ is *central* if it is a member of an additively minimal idempotent ultrafilter[1] on $\mathbb{N}$.

($\mathcal{IP}$) $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ is an *IP set* if it contains a set of the form

$$\mathrm{FS}(n_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}} = \left\{ \sum_{i\in I} n_i \;\middle|\; \text{finite, non-empty } I \subseteq \mathbb{N} \right\}, \quad (n_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathbb{N}.$$

Many fundamental results in Ramsey theory can be interpreted as elucidating the relationships between these notions of largeness. For example, that any set satisfying ($\mathcal{S}$) must satisfy ($\mathcal{AP}$) is a consequence of van der Waerden's theorem. Hindman's theorem [Hin] implies that if A satisfies ($\mathcal{PS}$), then $A - n$ satisfies ($\mathcal{IP}$) for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Szemerédi's theorem [Sze] gives that ($\mathcal{D}$) implies ($\mathcal{AP}$), and Furstenberg and Katznelson's IP version of Szemerédi's theorem [FK1] gives that in any set A satisfying ($\mathcal{D}$), for every $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, the set of step sizes of arithmetic progressions of length ℓ appearing in A intersects every set which satisfies ($\mathcal{IP}$).

The set of positive integers supports another associative, commutative operation, multiplication, which is just as fundamental to its structure. For each of the notions of additive largeness defined above, there is a natural analogous notion of multiplicative largeness.

($\mathcal{S}_\times$) $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ is *multiplicatively syndetic* if there exists a finite set $F \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ for which

$$A/F = \left\{ a/f \;\middle|\; a \in A,\ f \in F \right\} \supseteq \mathbb{N}.$$

($\mathcal{PS}_\times$) $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ is *multiplicatively piecewise syndetic* if there exists a finite set $F \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ for which the set A/F has non-empty intersection with every set satisfying ($\mathcal{S}_\times$).

($\mathcal{D}_\times$) $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ has positive *multiplicative upper Banach density* if

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \max_{m\in\mathbb{N}} \frac{|A \cap m\{p_1^{e_1} \cdots p_n^{e_n} \mid 1 \le e_i \le n\}|}{n^n} > 0, \tag{1.2}$$

where $p_1, p_2, \dots$ is an enumeration of the prime numbers.[2]

($\mathcal{AP}_\times$) $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ is *GP-rich* if it contains arbitrarily long geometric progressions $\{x, xy, xy^2, \dots, xy^k\}$.

($\mathcal{C}_\times$) $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ is *multiplicatively central* if it is a member of a multiplicatively minimal idempotent ultrafilter on $\mathbb{N}$.

($\mathcal{IP}_\times$) $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ is a *multiplicative IP set* if it contains a set of the form

$$\mathrm{FP}(n_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}} = \left\{ \prod_{i\in I} n_i \;\middle|\; \text{finite, non-empty } I \subseteq \mathbb{N} \right\}, \quad (n_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathbb{N}.$$

[1] Ultrafilters capture many important notions of largeness via algebra in Stone-Čech compactification of $\mathbb{N}$, but we largely avoid their use in this paper; see [BH1] and [HS3].

[2] We will show in Section 3 that the multiplicative upper Banach density does not depend on the specific choice of multiplicative Følner sequence chosen in the definition here.

Multiplicative analogues of the Ramsey theoretical results described above explain the relationships between these notions of largeness. For example, a multiplicative analogue of Hindman's theorem gives that if A satisfies $(\mathcal{PS}_\times)$, then A/n satisfies $(\mathcal{IP}_\times)$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and an analogue of Szemerédi's theorem gives that sets satisfying $(\mathcal{D}_\times)$ must also satisfy $(\mathcal{AP}_\times)$.

With addition and multiplication occupying a central role in the study of the positive integers, it is incumbent on us to explain the relationships between these notions of additive and multiplicative largeness. A natural first question is, to what extent can a set of positive integers be additively large but multiplicatively small? The set $(4\mathbb{N}-1)\cup(4\mathbb{N}-2)$ of positive integers which are congruent to either 2 or 3 modulo 4 is additively large (syndetic) but multiplicatively small (of zero density).

In searching for a complementary example – that is, a set which is multiplicatively large but additively small – one encounters an asymmetry between the relationships amongst notions of additive and multiplicative largeness: *multiplicatively large sets cannot be additively very small.* Several instances of this principle have appeared in the literature, and we cite three of them here.

Theorem A ([Ber5, Lemma 5.11]) *For all $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$,*

if A is multiplicatively syndetic, then A is additively central.

Theorem B ([BH2, Theorem 3.5]) *For all $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$,*

if A is multiplicatively central, then A is additively IP_0.[3]

Theorem C ([Ber3, Theorem 3.2]) *For all $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$,*

if A has positive multiplicative upper Banach density, then A is AP-rich.

It follows from Theorem A that multiplicatively syndetic sets have positive additive density. Thus, while additively syndetic sets need not have positive multiplicative density, multiplicatively syndetic sets necessarily have positive additive density.

In spite of these results, the relationships between notions of additive and multiplicative largeness are not entirely understood. Attempting to better understand these relationships quickly leads to interesting and important open problems. For example, it is unknown whether or not additively syndetic subsets of $\mathbb{N}$ are necessarily GP-rich. While we only discuss this particular problem in passing (see [BBHS] and Section 8.1), we advance our understanding of these relationships in this paper. Along the way, we obtain new and interesting applications in amenability and invariant means, Diophantine approximation, and combinatorial number theory.

1.2. **Results.** We wish to explain, in the proper generality, the interplay between notions of additive and multiplicative largeness. The natural numbers $\mathbb{N}$ with addition and multiplication form a *semiring*: a set S supporting a commutative additive semigroup $(S,+)$ and a multiplicative semigroup $(S,\cdot)$ in which distributivity holds: $s\cdot(s_1+s_2) = s\cdot s_1 + s\cdot s_2$, and similarly on the right. Semirings are minimally structured algebraic objects in which addition and multiplication co-exist, and it is in them that we study the relationships between additive and multiplicative largeness.

This work is comprised of two parts. First, we generalize and strengthen Theorems A, B, and C in the context of semirings. Thus, we prove the following theorems

[3] A set $A \subseteq (\mathbb{N},+)$ is an IP_0 set if for all $r \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist $n_1,\dots,n_r$ such that for all non-empty $I \subseteq \{1,\dots r\}$, $\sum_{i\in I} n_i \in A$.

for suitable semirings $(S, +, \cdot)$. (The requisite definitions may be found in Sections 2 and 4.)

Theorem 5.1 *For all $A \subseteq S$,*

if A is multiplicatively syndetic, then A is additively central.

Theorem 6.1 *For all $A \subseteq S$,*

if A is multiplicatively piecewise syndetic, then A is additively IP_0.

Theorem 7.1 *For all $A \subseteq S$,*

if A has positive multiplicative upper Banach density, then A is affinely rich.

In Section 8, we provide extremal examples that serve to illustrate the optimality of these three results.

The main impetus for this work arose not from the abstract semiring framework, but from the diverse array of related results and applications afforded to us by the ideas behind these theorems. We proceed now with a sampling of related results and representative applications of the theorems above.

In Section 3, we develop a new characterization of upper Banach density applicable in a wide class of amenable semigroups. The densities in $(\mathcal{D})$ and $(\mathcal{D}_\times)$ are defined with the help of so-called Følner sequences (see Definition 3.4). In a general left amenable semigroup $(S, \cdot)$, we define the upper Banach density of $A \subseteq S$ to be

$$d^*(A) = \sup\left\{\lambda(\mathbb{1}_A) \;\middle|\; \lambda \text{ a left translation invariant mean on } (S, \cdot)\right\},$$

(see Definition 2.6) and we prove the following result.

Theorem 3.5. *Let $(G, \cdot)$ be an amenable group or a commutative semigroup. For all $A \subseteq G$,*

$$d^*(A) = \sup\left\{\alpha \geq 0 \;\middle|\; \forall \text{ finite } F \subseteq G,\ \exists\, g \in G,\ |F \cap A \cdot g^{-1}| \geq \alpha |F|\right\},$$

where $A \cdot g^{-1} = \{s \in G \mid s \cdot g \in A\}$.

This characterization of upper Banach density not only serves as an important tool throughout the paper, it allows us to improve on recent results regarding densities defined along Følner sequences obtained in [HS2, Section 2].

In Section 5, we prove that in suitable semirings, multiplicatively syndetic sets are additively central. This result has interesting consequences in infinite division rings when it is combined with the IP Szemerédi theorem.

Theorem 5.4. *Let $\mathbb{F}$ be an infinite division ring. Every finite index subgroup of $(\mathbb{F}^*, \cdot)$ and each of its left and right cosets is additively thick in $(\mathbb{F}, +)$.*

Thus, finite index *multiplicative* subgroups of $\mathbb{F}$ support *additively* translation invariant means. This result highlights an interesting interaction between addition and multiplication. One immediate consequence of this is that the multiplicatively even and odd rational numbers (see Examples 4.5) are additively thick; this is an open problem in $\mathbb{N}$. We prove the following finitistic version of this theorem for finite fields as well, vastly generalizing Schur's result [Sch] that Fermat's Last Theorem fails to hold in finite fields.

Theorem 5.6. *For all $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists an $N = N(n, k) \in \mathbb{N}$ with the following property. For all finite fields $\mathbb{F}$ with $|\mathbb{F}| \geq N$ and all $F \subseteq \mathbb{F}$ with $|F| \leq n$, there exists a non-zero $x \in \mathbb{F}$ for which every element of $x^k + F$ is a non-zero k^{th} power.*

The proof of this theorem relies heavily on *uniformity* in the IP Szemerédi theorem, a topic which we discuss in Section 6. We derive the uniformity necessary for the applications above as a consequence of the density Hales-Jewett theorem in a short proof using the characterization of upper Banach density from Theorem 3.5.

We show that the polynomial Hales-Jewett theorem [BL1] exhibits uniformity similar to that in the IP Szemerédi theorem, and we present an example application which pertains to multiplicative number theory and Diophantine approximation. Let $\Omega : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ be the prime divisor counting function, defined by $\Omega(p_1^{e_1} \cdots p_k^{e_k}) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} e_i$, where the p_i's are distinct primes. Denote by $\{x\}$ and $\|x\|$ the fractional part of x and the distance to nearest integer from x, respectively.

Theorem 6.12. *Let $p \in \mathbb{R}[x]$ be a non-constant polynomial with irrational leading coefficient, and let $I \subseteq [0,1)$ be an interval. For all $d \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$\min_{\substack{1 \leq n \leq N \\ \{p(\Omega(n))\} \in I}} \|f(n)\| \to 0$$

uniformly in polynomials $f \in \mathbb{R}[x]$ of degree less than or equal to d with no constant term.

Subsets of positive multiplicative density in $\mathbb{N}$ were shown in [Ber3] to be AP-rich. In addition to extending this result to semirings $(S, +, \cdot)$, we prove a "relativization" of it: *subsets of positive multiplicative density in affinely rich sub-semigroups of $(S, \cdot)$ are affinely rich.* The following is an example application of this result making use of the ring of integers in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2})$ and the theorem of Green and Tao [GT] on arithmetic progressions in the primes.

Theorem 7.5. *Let*

$$R = \left\{ |x_1^3 + 2x_2^3 + 4x_3^3 - 6x_1x_2x_3| \;\middle|\; x_1, x_2, x_3 \in \mathbb{Z} \right\} \setminus \{0\} \subseteq \mathbb{N}.$$

The set $(R, \cdot)$ is a sub-semigroup of $(\mathbb{N}, \cdot)$, and any set $A \subseteq R$ satisfying $d^*_{(R,\cdot)}(A) > 0$ contains arbitrarily long arithmetic progressions.

Subsets of positive multiplicative density in $\mathbb{N}$ not only contain arithmetic patterns, they contain "geo-arithmetic" patterns. We prove, with the same "relativization" as above, that this is a general phenomenon in semirings $(S, +, \cdot)$: *subsets of positive multiplicative density in positive additive density sub-semigroups of $(S, \cdot)$ contain geo-arithmetic patterns.* The following is an example application which, in the $k = 1$ case, is a consequence of Szemerédi's theorem in finite characteristic.

Theorem 7.9. *Suppose $A \subseteq \mathbb{F}_p[x] \setminus \{0\}$ has positive multiplicative density in $(\mathbb{F}_p[x] \setminus \{0\}, \cdot)$. For all $k, d \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist $r, z \in \mathbb{F}_p[x]$, $r \neq 0$, and a d-dimensional vector subspace of V of $\mathbb{F}_p[x]$ such that*

$$\bigcup_{v \in V} r\{z + v, (z + v)^2, \cdots, (z + v)^k\} \subseteq A.$$

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we define several notions of largeness for subsets of semigroups and discuss the hierarchy between them. The alternate characterization of upper Banach density is proved in Section 3. In Section 4 we define semirings and describe how notions of largeness behave under semigroup homomorphisms. In Sections 5, 6, and 7, we prove Theorems 5.1, 6.1, and 7.1, respectively, and prove the related results and applications discussed above. Finally,

in Section 8, we present the extremal examples that serve to show the optimality of the main theorems.

1.3. **Acknowledgements.** The authors would like to thank Jim Cogdell for his assistance with the material in Section 7.1. Thanks also goes to Joel Moreira and Florian Richter for numerous helpful conversations and a close reading of the paper.

2. Notions of largeness in semigroups

In this section we define several notions of largeness for subsets of semigroups. The best general references are [Fur2, Chapter 9] and [BH3, Section 1], though we largely avoid the machinery of ultrafilters in this paper. While the definitions and results in this section make reference to only a single semigroup, we will apply this material in later sections to subsets of both the additive semigroup and the multiplicative semigroup of a semiring (see Definition 4.1).

Denote by $\mathbb{N}$ the set of natural numbers $\{1, 2, \ldots\}$. For S a set, denote by $\mathcal{P}_f(S)$ and $\mathcal{P}(S)$ the collections of all finite subsets (including the empty set) and all subsets of S, respectively. For $(S, \cdot)$ a semigroup written multiplicatively, we denote multiplication by juxtaposition. For $x \in S$ and $A \subseteq S$, let xA denote $\{xa \mid a \in A\}$ and $x^{-1}A$ denote $\{s \in S \mid xs \in A\}$; the sets Ax and Ax^{-1} are defined analogously.

Definition 2.1. Let $(S, \cdot)$ be a semigroup and $A \subseteq S$.

(I) A is (right) *syndetic* if there exist $s_1, \ldots, s_k \in S$ such that $S = s_1^{-1}A \cup \cdots \cup s_k^{-1}A$.

(II) A is (left) *thick* if for all $F \in \mathcal{P}_f(S)$, there exists $x \in S$ for which $Fx \subseteq A$.

(III) A is (right) *piecewise syndetic* if there exist $s_1, \ldots, s_k \in S$ such that $s_1^{-1}A \cup \cdots \cup s_k^{-1}A$ is (left) thick.

Denote by $\mathcal{S}(S, \cdot)$, $\mathcal{T}(S, \cdot)$, and $\mathcal{PS}(S, \cdot)$ the collections of all syndetic, thick, and piecewise syndetic subsets of the semigroup $(S, \cdot)$. When the semigroup is apparent, we refer to these classes simply as $\mathcal{S}$, $\mathcal{T}$, and $\mathcal{PS}$.

Definition 2.2. Let $\mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(S)$ be a collection of subsets of a set S. The *dual class* $\mathcal{X}^* \subseteq \mathcal{P}(S)$ is the collection of subsets of S having non-empty intersection with every member of $\mathcal{X}$; in other words, $A \in \mathcal{X}^*$ if and only if for all $B \in \mathcal{X}$, $A \cap B \neq \emptyset$.

Note that if the collection $\mathcal{X}$ is upward closed, then $(\mathcal{X}^*)^* = \mathcal{X}$. The choice of *left* and *right* in Definition 2.1 makes thickness "dual" to syndeticity in the sense that $\mathcal{S}^* = \mathcal{T}$ and $\mathcal{T}^* = \mathcal{S}$. The opposite classes *left syndetic*, *right thick*, and *left piecewise syndetic* may be defined analogously, and the opposite versions of the results appearing in this work hold for them.

We describe now another notion of largeness, IP structure, which is of fundamental importance in Ramsey theory and ergodic theory; see [Hin], [FW], [FK1], [FK2], and [BL1].

Definition 2.3. Let $(S, \cdot)$ be a semigroup and $A \subseteq S$.

(I) Given $(s_i)_{i=1}^r \subseteq S$ and a non-empty $\alpha = \{\alpha_1 < \cdots < \alpha_k\} \subseteq \{1, \ldots, r\}$,

$$s_\alpha = s_{\alpha_1} s_{\alpha_2} \cdots s_{\alpha_k}.$$

(II) A is an *IP$_r$ set*, $r \in \mathbb{N}$, if there exists $(s_i)_{i=1}^r \subseteq S$ for which

$$\mathrm{FP}(s_i)_{i=1}^r = \left\{ s_\alpha \;\middle|\; \emptyset \neq \alpha \subseteq \{1, \ldots, r\} \right\} \subseteq A.$$

(III) A is an *IP_0 set* if for all $r \in \mathbb{N}$, it is an IP_r set.
(IV) A is an *IP set* if there exists $(s_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \subseteq S$ for which

$$\mathrm{FP}(s_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}} = \big\{ s_\alpha \bigm| \emptyset \neq \alpha \in \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N}) \big\} \subseteq A.$$

We denote the class of IP_r, IP_0, and IP subsets of $(S,\cdot)$ by $\mathcal{IP}_r(S,\cdot)$, $\mathcal{IP}_0(S,\cdot)$, and $\mathcal{IP}(S,\cdot)$, respectively.

In this definition, "FP" is short for "finite products"; when the semigroup is written additively, we write "FS," which abbreviates "finite sums." The semigroup $(S,\cdot)$ is not assumed to be commutative, so the order in which the products are taken in (I) is important. The increasing order was chosen here so that every (left) thick set is an IP set (see Lemma 2.11 below); decreasing IP sets (those defined with a decreasing order) can be found in any right thick set.

The notion of *centrality* combines piecewise syndeticity and IP structure. Central sets originated in $\mathbb{N}$ in a dynamical context with Furstenberg [Fur2, Definition 8.3]. It was revealed in [BH1, Section 6] that the property of being central is equivalent to membership in a minimal idempotent ultrafilter.

Definition 2.4. Let $(S,\cdot)$ be a semigroup. A subset of S is *central* if it is a member of a minimal idempotent ultrafilter on S. We denote by $\mathcal{C}(S,\cdot)$ the class of central subsets of $(S,\cdot)$.

Remark 2.5. The class $\mathcal{C}$ is *partition regular*: if $A, B \subseteq S$ and $A \cup B$ is central, then either A or B is central. This fact follows immediately from the ultrafilter characterization of centrality. The classes $\mathcal{PS}$, $\mathcal{IP}_0$, and $\mathcal{IP}$ are also partition regular. The first and third of these facts follow from the ultrafilter characterization of those classes (see [HS3], Theorems 4.40 and 5.12), and a proof of the second fact may be found in [BR, Proposition 2.3].

Density provides a natural notion of size for subsets of amenable semigroups.

Definition 2.6. Let $(S,\cdot)$ be a semigroup.

(I) S is *left amenable* if the space of bounded, complex-valued functions on S with the supremum norm admits a *left translation invariant mean*, that is, a positive linear functional λ of norm 1 which is left translation invariant: for all bounded $f : S \to \mathbb{C}$ and all $s \in S$, $\lambda\big(x \mapsto f(sx)\big) = \lambda(f)$.
(II) The *upper Banach density* of $A \subseteq S$ is

$$d^*(A) = \sup\big\{ \lambda(\mathbb{1}_A) \bigm| \lambda \text{ a left translation invariant mean on } (S,\cdot) \big\}.$$

We denote by $\mathcal{D}(S,\cdot)$ the class of subsets of $(S,\cdot)$ of positive upper Banach density. (Note that $\mathcal{D}$ is non-empty if and only if $(S,\cdot)$ is left amenable.)

The most familiar appearance of this notion of density is the additive upper Banach density for subsets $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ given by (1.1) in the introduction. In addition to showing that this density coincides with the one in Definition 2.6 (II), the results in the next section will allow us to handle upper Banach density in more general semigroups. Two useful properties follow immediately from the definition: for all $A, B \subseteq S$ and all $s \in S$, $d^*(s^{-1}A) = d^*(A)$ and $d^*(A \cup B) \leq d^*(A) + d^*(B)$.

Next we introduce a class of combinatorially rich sets which we call *affinely rich* sets. Let $\ell, m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, and denote by $[n]$ the set $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$. A *variable m-space in $[n]^\ell$* is a word of length ℓ with letters from the alphabet $[n] \cup \{t_1, \ldots, t_m\}$ which contains each of the letters $t_1, \ldots, t_m$ at least once. A variable m-space v in $[n]^\ell$

is considered to be a function $[n]^m \to [n]^\ell$ which sends the word $x \in [n]^m$ to the word gotten by replacing, for each $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, each occurrence of the letter t_i in v with x_i, the i^{th} letter of x. A *combinatorial m-space in* $[n]^\ell$ is the range of a variable m-space in $[n]^\ell$.

Definition 2.7. Let $(S, \cdot)$ be a semigroup. A set $A \subseteq (S, \cdot)$ is *affinely rich* if for all $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $\ell = \ell(n, m) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $(s_i)_{i=1}^\ell \subseteq S$, there exists a combinatorial m-space $\Omega \subseteq [n]^\ell$ and an $s \in S$ such that

$$\left\{ s_1^{\omega_1 - 1} \cdots s_\ell^{\omega_\ell - 1} s \;\middle|\; \omega \in \Omega \right\} \subseteq A. \tag{2.1}$$

We denote by $\mathcal{AR}(S, \cdot)$ the class of affinely rich subsets of $(S, \cdot)$.

Affinely rich sets are most interesting in commutative semigroups, where they contain an abundance of combinatorial patterns.

Examples 2.8. Let $(S, \cdot)$ be a semigroup, $A \subseteq S$ be affinely rich, and $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$.

(I) Suppose $(S, \cdot) = (\mathbb{N}, +)$. Choosing $s_i = i$, it follows from (2.1) that there exist $s, d_1, \dots, d_m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\left\{ s + j_1 d_1 + \ldots + j_m d_m \;\middle|\; j_1, \cdots, j_m \in \{0, \dots, n-1\} \right\} \subseteq A.$$

Thus, the set A contains arbitrarily long, arbitrarily high dimensional *generalized arithmetic progressions*. By choosing $s_i = n^i$, we can find such progressions with n^m elements. In fact, setting $\ell = \ell(n, m) \in \mathbb{N}$ from Definition 2.7, any additive IP_ℓ subset $B \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ has the property that there exists a generalized arithmetic progression with step sizes $d_1, \dots, d_m$ in B. Therefore, in particular, the set of step sizes of arithmetic progressions contained in an affinely rich set is an IP_0^* subset of $(\mathbb{N}, +)$.

(II) Suppose $(S, \cdot) = (\mathbb{N}, \cdot)$. Choosing $s_i = p_i$, the i^{th} prime number, it follows from (2.1) that there exists $s \in \mathbb{N}$ and pairwise coprime $d_1, \dots, d_m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\left\{ s d_1^{j_1} \cdots d_m^{j_m} \;\middle|\; j_1, \dots, j_m \in \{0, \dots, n-1\} \right\} \subseteq A.$$

Thus, affinely rich sets in $(\mathbb{N}, \cdot)$ contain arbitrarily long, arbitrarily high dimensional *geometric cubes*.

(III) Suppose $(S, \cdot) = (\mathbb{F}_p[x], +)$ and that $n \geq p$. Choosing $s_i = x^i$, it follows from (2.1) that there exists $s \in \mathbb{F}_p[x]$ and an m-dimensional vector subspace V of $\mathbb{F}_p[x]$ such that

$$s + V \subseteq A.$$

Thus, affinely rich sets in $\mathbb{F}_p[x]$ contain arbitrarily high dimensional *affine subspaces*.

The motivation for the definition of affine richness comes from the fact that any set of positive upper Banach density is affinely rich; we will prove this in Theorem 3.3 below. Using the fact that $r\mathbb{N}$ is an IP_r^* set in $(\mathbb{N}, +)$, it is not hard to verify that any infinite arithmetic progression in $\mathbb{N}$ is affinely rich. More generally, the following lemma shows how to obtain an affinely rich set from an IP_0^* set in a commutative semigroup.

Lemma 2.9. *Let $(S,\cdot)$ be a commutative semigroup. For any IP_0^* subset $D = \{d_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ of S and any sequence $(x_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \subseteq S$, the set*

$$A = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \left\{ d_1^{e_1} \cdots d_k^{e_k} x_k \;\middle|\; e_1, \ldots, e_k \in \{0, \ldots, k\} \right\}$$

is affinely rich in $(S,\cdot)$.

Proof. Let $r \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that D is IP_r^*. Let $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, and set $\ell = rm$. Let $(s_i)_{i=1}^{\ell} \subseteq S$. Since D is IP_r^*, for each $j \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$, there exists $\alpha_j \subseteq \{(j-1)r+1, \ldots, jr\}$ such that $s_{\alpha_j} \in D$ (in the notation of Definition 2.3). Let Ω be the image of the variable word in $[n]^{\ell}$ defined by putting, for all $j \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$, the letter t_j at the indices in α_j and 1's elsewhere. Let $k \geq \max(n, \ell)$ be sufficiently large so that $s_{\alpha_1}, \ldots, s_{\alpha_m} \in \{d_1, \ldots, d_k\}$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\{ s_1^{\omega_1 - 1} \cdots s_{\ell}^{\omega_L - 1} x_k \;\middle|\; \omega \in \Omega \right\} &= \left\{ s_{\alpha_1}^{e_1} \cdots s_{\alpha_m}^{e_m} x_k \;\middle|\; e_1, \ldots, e_m \in \{0, \ldots, n-1\} \right\} \\ &\subseteq \left\{ d_1^{e_1} \cdots d_k^{e_k} x_k \;\middle|\; e_1, \ldots, e_k \in \{0, \ldots, k\} \right\} \subseteq A. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that A is affinely rich. □

It follows from the multidimensional version of the Hales-Jewett theorem [GB, Pg. 24] that the class $\mathcal{AR}$ is partition regular. The following theorem is a much stronger, density version of the multidimensional Hales-Jewett theorem. We will make use of this theorem several times throughout this work to find combinatorial patterns in sets of positive density in amenable semigroups.

Theorem 2.10 ([FK3, Theorem 2.5])**.** *For all $\epsilon > 0$ and all $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $\ell = \ell(n, m, \epsilon) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $A \subseteq [n]^{\ell}$ with $|A| \geq \epsilon n^{\ell}$, there exists a combinatorial m-space contained in A.*

We conclude this section by explaining the hierarchy between the notions of largeness just defined.

Lemma 2.11. *Let $(S,\cdot)$ be a semigroup and $r \in \mathbb{N}$. Figure 1 illustrates containment amongst classes of largeness in $(S,\cdot)$ ($\mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$ indicates that $\mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathcal{Y}$), with the position of the classes $\mathcal{D}$, $\mathcal{D}^*$, $\mathcal{AR}$, and $\mathcal{AR}^*$ regarded only in the case that $(S,\cdot)$ is left amenable.*

The containments indicated in Figure 1 which do not involve the classes $\mathcal{D}$ or $\mathcal{AR}$ are proved in [BH3, Section 1]. The following lemma shows that in left amenable semigroups, $\mathcal{PS} \subseteq \mathcal{D}$; by considering the dual classes, it follows that $\mathcal{D}^* \subseteq \mathcal{PS}^*$.

Lemma 2.12. *Let $(S,\cdot)$ be a left amenable semigroup. If $A \subseteq S$ is piecewise syndetic, then $d^*(A) > 0$.*

Proof. Let $A \subseteq S$ be piecewise syndetic. There exist $s_1, \ldots, s_k \in S$ for which $B = s_1^{-1}A \cup \cdots \cup s_k^{-1}A$ is thick. By [Pat, Prop. 1.21], there exists a left invariant mean λ on $(S,\cdot)$ for which $\lambda(\mathbb{1}_B) = 1$. Since λ is positive and linear,

$$1 = \lambda(\mathbb{1}_B) \leq \lambda\big(\mathbb{1}_{s_1^{-1}A} + \cdots + \mathbb{1}_{s_k^{-1}A}\big) = \lambda\big(\mathbb{1}_{s_1^{-1}A}\big) + \cdots + \lambda\big(\mathbb{1}_{s_k^{-1}A}\big).$$

Therefore, there exists an $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$ for which $\lambda(\mathbb{1}_A) = \lambda(\mathbb{1}_{s_i^{-1}A}) \geq 1/k$. It follows that $d^*(A) \geq 1/k$. □

It remains only to be shown that sets of positive upper Banach density are affinely rich. This is proven in Theorem 3.3 below with the help of an alternate characterization of upper Banach density developed in the next section.



FIGURE 1. Containment amongst classes of largeness in a semigroup.

3. An alternate characterization of upper Banach density

In this section we give a useful alternate characterization of upper Banach density for a large class of semigroups. We first provide some motivation for the characterization; see also [Gri, Corollary 9.2], [JR, Theorem G], and [FK1, Lemma 9.6].

Let $(S, \cdot)$ be a cancellative semigroup. A subset $A \subseteq S$ is thick if and only if for all $F \in \mathcal{P}_f(S)$, there exists an $s \in S$ for which

$$Fs \subseteq A, \quad \text{which is the same as} \quad |Fs \cap A| \geq 1|F|.$$

The second expression says that there exists a right translate of F with the property that 100% of its elements lie within A. This begs the question: given $A \subseteq S$ and $F \in \mathcal{P}_f(S)$, how much of the set F, as a percentage of $|F|$, can we translate (on the right) into A? That is, how large is the quantity

$$\sup\left\{\alpha \geq 0 \;\middle|\; \forall F \in \mathcal{P}_f(S),\ \exists s \in S,\ |Fs \cap A| \geq \alpha|F|\right\}?$$

This quantity is equal to 1 if and only if A is thick in S. We will show that, with a slight modification, this quantity is equal to the upper Banach density of A in a wide class of semigroups.

We begin by describing a multiset generalization of this idea. The utility of this more general formulation is manifest in the proof of Theorem 3.3 and will be useful several times later on.

Definition 3.1. Let S be a set. A *multiset* $\mathfrak{F}$ *in* S is a 2-tuple consisting of a *support* $F \subseteq S$ and a function $\mathfrak{F} : F \to \mathbb{N}$. We say that $\mathfrak{F}$ is finite if $|\mathfrak{F}| = \sum_{f \in F} \mathfrak{F}(f)$ is finite (equivalently, if F is a finite set). If $\mathfrak{F}_1$ and $\mathfrak{F}_2$ are multisets in S, then $\mathfrak{F}_1 \cap \mathfrak{F}_2 : F_1 \cap F_2 \to \mathbb{N}$ is defined by pointwise multiplication. There

is a 1–1 correspondence between sets and constant 1 multisets (regarding them as functions), and the terminology here agrees with the familiar terminology for sets.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $(S,\cdot)$ be a left amenable semigroup. For all $A \subseteq S$,*

$$d^*(A) \leq \sup\left\{\alpha \geq 0 \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{l} \forall \text{ finite multisets } \mathfrak{F} \text{ in } S, \\ \exists s \in S,\ |\mathfrak{F} \cap As^{-1}| \geq \alpha|\mathfrak{F}| \end{array}\right\}. \tag{3.1}$$

Moreover, for all finite multisets $\mathfrak{F}$ in S and all $0 \leq \beta \leq d^*(A)$, the set

$$S_\beta(A,\mathfrak{F}) = \left\{s \in S \;\middle|\; |\mathfrak{F} \cap As^{-1}| \geq \beta|\mathfrak{F}|\right\}$$

satisfies $d^*\big(S_\beta(A,\mathfrak{F})\big) \geq (d^*(A)-\beta)/(1-\beta)$.

Proof. Suppose $d^*(A) > 0$, let $\epsilon > 0$, let λ be a left invariant mean for which $\lambda(\mathbb{1}_A) \geq d^*(A) - \epsilon$. Let $\mathfrak{F}$ be a finite multiset in S with support F. Consider the function $g : S \to [0,1]$ given by

$$g(s) = \frac{|\mathfrak{F} \cap As^{-1}|}{|\mathfrak{F}|} = \frac{1}{|\mathfrak{F}|}\sum_{f\in F}\mathfrak{F}(f)\mathbb{1}_{As^{-1}}(f) = \frac{1}{|\mathfrak{F}|}\sum_{f\in F}\mathfrak{F}(f)\mathbb{1}_{f^{-1}A}(s).$$

Since λ is left invariant,

$$\lambda(g) = \frac{1}{|\mathfrak{F}|}\sum_{f\in F}\mathfrak{F}(f)\lambda(\mathbb{1}_{f^{-1}A}) = \lambda(\mathbb{1}_A) \geq d^*(A) - \epsilon. \tag{3.2}$$

It follows by the positivity of λ that there exists an $s \in S$ for which $g(s) \geq d^*(A) - 2\epsilon$. Thus the right hand side of (3.1) is not less than $d^*(A) - 2\epsilon$. Since $\epsilon > 0$ was arbitrary, the inequality in (3.1) holds.

Let $0 \leq \beta \leq d^*(A)$. If $\beta = d^*(A)$, there is nothing to show, so suppose $\beta < d^*(A) - \epsilon$. Taking an invariant mean λ as above and abbreviating $S_\beta(A,\mathfrak{F})$ by S_β, it follows from (3.2) that

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda(\mathbb{1}_A) = \lambda(g) &\leq \lambda\left(g\mathbb{1}_{S_\beta}\right) + \lambda\left(g\mathbb{1}_{S\setminus S_\beta}\right) \\ &\leq \lambda\left(\mathbb{1}_{S_\beta}\right) + \beta\left(1 - \lambda(\mathbb{1}_{S_\beta})\right).\end{aligned}$$

Rearranging the previous inequality, we find that $\lambda\left(\mathbb{1}_{S_\beta}\right) \geq (\lambda(\mathbb{1}_A) - \beta)/(1-\beta)$. The result follows since $\lambda(\mathbb{1}_A) \geq d^*(A) - \epsilon$ and ϵ was arbitrary. □

Theorem 3.3. *Let $(S,\cdot)$ be a left amenable semigroup and $A \subseteq S$. If $d^*(A) > 0$, then A is affinely rich.*

Proof. Let $0 < \epsilon < d^*(A)$. Let $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, and put $\ell = \ell(\epsilon, n, m)$ from Theorem 2.10. Let $(s_i)_{i=1}^{\ell} \subseteq S$, and define $\pi : [n]^\ell \to S$ by $\pi(\omega) = s_1^{\omega_1 - 1}\cdots s_\ell^{\omega_\ell - 1}$. Let $F \subseteq S$ be the image of π, and define the multiset $\mathfrak{F} : F \to \mathbb{N}$ by

$$\mathfrak{F}(f) = \left|\{\omega \in [n]^\ell \mid \pi(\omega) = f\}\right|.$$

By Theorem 3.2, there exists an $s \in S$ such that

$$\epsilon|\mathfrak{F}| \leq |\mathfrak{F} \cap As^{-1}| = \left|\{\omega \in [n]^\ell \mid \pi(\omega) \in As^{-1}\}\right| = \left|\{\omega \in [n]^\ell \mid \pi(\omega)s \in A\}\right|.$$

Since $|\mathfrak{F}| = n^\ell$, Theorem 2.10 gives that $\{\omega \in [n]^\ell \mid \pi(\omega)s \in A\}$ contains a combinatorial m-space Ω. Writing this out we get exactly the expression in (2.1). □

This completes the proof of Lemma 2.11. We turn now to explaining when equality occurs in (3.1). It will be helpful to be able to construct left invariant means; this is simplest in semigroups satisfying the so-called strong Følner condition.

Definition 3.4. Let $(S,\cdot)$ be a semigroup.

(I) S satisfies the *strong Følner condition* if

$$\forall F \in \mathcal{P}_f(S),\ \forall \epsilon > 0,\ \exists H \in \mathcal{P}_f(S),\ \forall f \in F,\ \left|H \setminus fH\right| < \epsilon|H|. \tag{SFC}$$

(II) The function $d^*_{\mathrm{F}\emptyset} : \mathcal{P}(S) \to [0,1]$ is defined by

$$d^*_{\mathrm{F}\emptyset}(A) = \sup\left\{\alpha \geq 0 \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{l} \forall F \in \mathcal{P}_f(S),\ \forall \epsilon > 0,\ \exists H \in \mathcal{P}_f(S), \\ |A \cap H| \geq \alpha|H| \text{ and } \forall f \in F,\ |H \setminus fH| < \epsilon|H| \end{array}\right\}.$$

(III) A *left Følner sequence* is a sequence $(F_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of finite, non-empty subsets of S with the property that for all $s \in S$, $|sF_n \triangle F_n|/|F_n| \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$.

Any semigroup satisfying (SFC) is left amenable. A countable semigroup satisfies (SFC) if and only if it admits a left Følner sequence, and in this case,

$$d^*_{\mathrm{F}\emptyset}(A) = \sup\left\{\limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{|A \cap F_n|}{|F_n|} \;\middle|\; (F_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \text{ is a Følner sequence}\right\}. \tag{3.3}$$

The previous sentence holds true for uncountable semigroups with "Følner sequence" replaced by "Følner net." We shall only need the fact that in semigroups $(S,\cdot)$ satisfying (SFC), for all $A \subseteq S$, $d^*_{\mathrm{F}\emptyset}(A) \leq d^*(A)$. This follows from the fact that any weak-$*$ cluster point λ of a net of means arising from a Følner net in S which realizes $d^*_{\mathrm{F}\emptyset}(A)$ will be left translation invariant and satisfy $\lambda(\mathbb{1}_A) \geq d^*_{\mathrm{F}\emptyset}(A)$. Since we will not make use of Følner nets in this paper, we refer the reader to [HS1, Section 1] for definitions, proofs, and related discussion.

A semigroup $(S,\cdot)$ is *left cancellative* if for all $x, y, z \in S$, the equality $xy = xz$ implies that $y = z$. *Right cancellativity* is defined analogously. It will be convenient to name a class of semigroups satisfying more than (SFC); thus, we introduce the shorthand:

$$\text{(SFC) AND } \big(\text{left cancel. OR right cancel. OR commutative}\big). \tag{SFC+}$$

Since all commutative semigroups satisfy (SFC), all commutative semigroups satisfy (SFC+). Also, all amenable groups satisfy (SFC+).

Theorem 3.5. *Let $(S,\cdot)$ be a semigroup satisfying (SFC+). For all $A \subseteq S$, $d^*_{\mathrm{F}\emptyset}(A) = d^*(A)$, and both are equal to*

$$\sup\left\{\alpha \geq 0 \;\middle|\; \forall F \in \mathcal{P}_f(S),\ \exists s \in S,\ |F \cap As^{-1}| \geq \alpha|F|\right\}. \tag{3.4}$$

In particular, the inequality in (3.1) is an equality.

Proof. Denote temporarily the quantity in (3.4) by $d^*_t(A)$ ("t" for *translate*). Note that

$$d^*_{\mathrm{F}\emptyset}(A) \leq d^*(A) \leq \text{right hand side of (3.1)} \leq d^*_t(A).$$

Therefore, it suffices to show that $d^*_t(A) \leq d^*_{\mathrm{F}\emptyset}(A)$.

We will show first that S satisfies a condition related to (SFC), namely

$$\begin{array}{c} \forall F \in \mathcal{P}_f(S),\ \forall \epsilon > 0,\ \exists H \in \mathcal{P}_f(S), \\ \forall s \in S,\ |Hs| = |H| \text{ and } \forall f \in F,\ \left|H \setminus fH\right| < \epsilon|H|. \end{array} \tag{3.5}$$

This follows immediately from (SFC) if S is right cancellative. If S is commutative or left cancellative, this follows from the proof of [AW, Theorem 4] and the discussion following it using the fact that left cancellative, left amenable semigroups satisfy (SFC).

Let $A \subseteq S$ and $\alpha < d_t^*(A)$. We must show $d^*_{\mathrm{F}\emptyset}(A) \geq \alpha$. Let $F \in \mathcal{P}_f(S)$ and $\epsilon > 0$. There exists a set $H \in \mathcal{P}_f(S)$ satisfying the second line in (3.5). By our choice of α, there exists an $s \in S$ for which $|H \cap As^{-1}| \geq \alpha|H|$. Since $|Hs| = |H|$, it follows that $|Hs \cap A| \geq \alpha|Hs|$ and that for all $f \in F$,

$$|Hs \setminus fHs| \leq |(H \setminus fH)s| = |H \setminus fH| < \epsilon|H| = \epsilon|Hs|.$$

The set Hs satisfies the conditions required of H in the definition of $d^*_{\mathrm{F}\emptyset}(A)$. Since F and ϵ were arbitrary, $d^*_{\mathrm{F}\emptyset}(A) \geq \alpha$. □

In addition to extending the results in [HS2, Section 2], this theorem allows us to handle upper Banach density in suitable semigroups without knowledge of the form Følner sequences take. The following is an immediate corollary of Theorem 3.5.

Corollary 3.6. *Let $(S, \cdot)$ be a right cancellative semigroup satisfying (SFC). For all $A \subseteq S$,*

$$d^*(A) = \sup\{\alpha \geq 0 \mid \forall F \in \mathcal{P}_f(S),\ \exists s \in S,\ |Fs \cap A| \geq \alpha|F|\}.$$

Suppose $(S, \cdot)$ is countable, and fix a Følner sequence $(F_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$. For all $A \subseteq S$,

$$d^*(A) = \limsup_{n\to\infty} \max_{s\in S} \frac{|A \cap F_n s|}{|F_n|}.$$

Thus the heuristics for upper Banach density discussed in the beginning of this section hold as written for right cancellative semigroup satisfying (SFC). This corollary also proves that the expressions for additive and multiplicative upper Banach density for subsets of $\mathbb{N}$ in (1.1) and (1.2) from the introduction coincide with the upper Banach density defined in Definition 2.6. More generally, for countable, right cancellative semigroup satisfying (SFC), it shows that right translates of the finite sets which make up any single Følner sequence suffice to capture the upper Banach density as given in (3.3) as a limit over all Følner sequences.

4. Semirings: context for the interaction of additive and multiplicative largeness

The primary goal of this paper is to explain the degree to which notions of additive and multiplicative largeness interact. Semirings are basic algebraic objects in which a study of this interaction makes sense.

Definition 4.1. A *semiring* $(S, +, \cdot)$ is a set S together with two binary operations $+$ (addition) and $\cdot$ (multiplication) for which

(I) $(S, +)$ is a commutative semigroup;
(II) $(S, \cdot)$ is a semigroup;
(III) *left and right distributivity* hold: for all $s_1, s_2 \in S$ and $s \in S$,

$$s(s_1 + s_2) = ss_1 + ss_2 \quad \text{and} \quad (s_1 + s_2)s = s_1 s + s_2 s.$$

The semiring $(S, +, \cdot)$ is *commutative* if $(S, \cdot)$ is a commutative semigroup.

Addition on the left or right by a fixed element of S will be called *translation* and multiplication on the left or right will be called *dilation*. Another way to phrase (III) above is that left and right dilation are homomorphisms of the additive semigroup $(S, +)$.

Examples 4.2. Every (not-necessarily unital or commutative) ring is a semiring; thus, $\mathbb{Z}$, $\mathbb{Q}$, $\mathbb{R}$, and $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ with the usual operations are semirings. Every two sided ideal of a ring is a semiring, and the cone of positive elements of a partially ordered ring is a semiring; the quintessential semiring $\mathbb{N}$ arises from the ring $\mathbb{Z}$ in this way. Familiar algebraic constructions may be used to generate semirings from a given semiring $(S, +, \cdot)$.

(I) $M_d(S)$, the set of d-by-d matrices with elements from S under matrix addition and multiplication, is a semiring. This includes algebraic extensions of $\mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathbb{Q}$, for example the rational quaternions $\mathbb{H}(\mathbb{Q})$ and (cones in) rings of integers of number fields such as $\mathbb{N}[\sqrt{2}]$.

(II) When $(S, +, \cdot)$ is commutative, $S[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, the set of polynomials in the variables $x_1, \dots, x_n$ with coefficients in S with polynomial addition and multiplication, is a semiring.

(III) Given any set X, the set $\{f : X \to S\}$ under pointwise addition and multiplication is a semiring.

(IV) For $(S, \cdot)$ any semigroup, the endomorphism ring $\{\varphi : (S, \cdot) \to (S, \cdot)\}$ of semigroup homomorphisms under pointwise multiplication and composition is a semiring.

The semirings $(\mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N}), \cup, \cap)$ and $(\mathbb{Z}, \min, \max)$, the former of which has significance in Ramsey theory, both elude this categorical approach. Curiously, addition and multiplication are interchangeable in both of these semirings.

Despite this plethora of examples, we will mainly be interested in, and develop applications for, the following semirings: $\mathbb{N}$, $\mathbb{Z}$, $M_d(\mathbb{Z})$, and $\mathbb{F}_p[x]$.

The first results regarding the interaction of additive and multiplicative largeness concern translations and dilations of large sets. The following examples show that translation does not always preserve multiplicative largeness.

Example 4.3. In $\mathbb{N}$, the even numbers $2\mathbb{N}$ have multiplicative density $d^*_{(\mathbb{N},\cdot)}(2\mathbb{N}) = 1$ while the odd numbers $2\mathbb{N}-1$ have zero multiplicative density. For a more extreme example, note that the set $A = 4\mathbb{N} + \{0, 1\}$ is multiplicatively IP_2^* and $\mathcal{AR}^*$ while $A + 2$ is neither multiplicatively IP_2 nor affinely rich.

While translation does not preserve multiplicative largeness, dilation does preserve additive largeness. This is a consequence of the more general fact that the image of a "large" set under suitable semigroup homomorphisms is "large." We prove two lemmas along these lines that will be useful later on.

Lemma 4.4. *Let $(S, \cdot)$ and $(T, \cdot)$ be semigroups, $\varphi : (S, \cdot) \to (T, \cdot)$ be a surjective homomorphism, $A \subseteq S$, $B \subseteq T$, and $r \in \mathbb{N}$. For all $\mathcal{X} \in \{\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{PS}, \mathcal{PS}^*, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}^*, \mathcal{AR}, \mathcal{AR}^*, \mathcal{IP}_r, \mathcal{IP}_r^*, \mathcal{IP}_0, \mathcal{IP}_0^*, \mathcal{IP}, \mathcal{IP}^*\}$,*

$$(\Rightarrow) \qquad A \in \mathcal{X}(S, \cdot) \implies \varphi(A) \in \mathcal{X}(T, \cdot),$$

$$(\Leftarrow) \qquad \varphi^{-1}(B) \in \mathcal{X}(S, \cdot) \impliedby B \in \mathcal{X}(T, \cdot).$$

If $(S, \cdot)$ and $(T, \cdot)$ satisfy (SFC+), then $(\Rightarrow)$ and $(\Leftarrow)$ hold for $\mathcal{X} \in \{\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}^*\}$.

Proof. Note that if the lemma holds for $\mathcal{X}$, then it holds for the dual class $\mathcal{X}^*$. It is routine to check that the lemma holds for each $\mathcal{X} \in \{\mathcal{IP}, \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{PS}, \mathcal{C}\}$ from the facts in [HS3, Exercise 1.7.3] using the ultrafilter characterization of those classes. The lemma is also easily verified by hand for $\mathcal{X} \in \{\mathcal{IP}_r, \mathcal{IP}_0\}$. The $(\Rightarrow)$ statement follows for $\mathcal{X} \in \{\mathcal{AR}, \mathcal{D}\}$ from Lemma 4.6 below.

To verify ($\Leftarrow$) for $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{AR}$, suppose B is affinely rich in T. Let $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, and choose $\ell = \ell(n,m)$ guaranteed by the definition of affine richness for B. Let $(s_i)_{i=1}^{\ell} \subseteq S$. Since B is affinely rich, there exists a combinatorial m-space Ω and a $t = \varphi(s) \in T$ such that

$$\varphi\big(\{s_1^{\omega_1 - 1} \cdots s_\ell^{\omega_\ell - 1} s \mid \omega \in \Omega\}\big) = \{\varphi(s_1)^{\omega_1 - 1} \cdots \varphi(s_\ell)^{\omega_\ell - 1}\varphi(s) \mid \omega \in \Omega\} \subseteq B.$$

This means that $\varphi^{-1}(B)$ contains the desired configuration.

Finally, we prove ($\Leftarrow$) for $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{D}$ with the help of Theorem 3.5. Let $B \subseteq T$ with $d^*(B) \geq \alpha$. Let $\mathfrak{F}$ be a finite multiset in S with support F. Let $\mathfrak{H}$ be the multiset on $H = \varphi(F)$ defined by

$$\mathfrak{H}(h) = \sum_{\substack{f \in F \\ \varphi(f) = h}} \mathfrak{F}(f),$$

and note that $|\mathfrak{H}| = |\mathfrak{F}|$. Since $d^*(B) \geq \alpha$, there exists a $t \in T$ such that $|\mathfrak{H} \cap Bt^{-1}| \geq \alpha|\mathfrak{H}|$. Since φ is surjective, there exists an $s \in S$ for which $\varphi(s) = t$. Now

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha|\mathfrak{F}| = \alpha|\mathfrak{H}| \leq |\mathfrak{H} \cap By^{-1}| &= \sum_{h \in H} \mathfrak{H}(h)\mathbb{1}_B(ht) \\
&= \sum_{h \in H} \sum_{\substack{f \in F \\ \varphi(f) = h}} \mathfrak{F}(f)\mathbb{1}_B(ht) \\
&= \sum_{h \in H} \sum_{\substack{f \in F \\ \varphi(f) = h}} \big(\mathfrak{F}(f)\mathbb{1}_B(\varphi(f)t)\big) \\
&= \sum_{f \in F} \mathfrak{F}(f)\mathbb{1}_B(\varphi(fs)) = |\mathfrak{F} \cap \varphi^{-1}(B)s^{-1}|.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathfrak{F}$ was arbitrary, this shows that $d^*(\varphi^{-1}B) \geq \alpha$. □

This lemma provides us with a tool to generate examples of large sets from other large sets with surjective homomorphisms.

Example 4.5.

(I) Define $\Omega : (\mathbb{N}, \cdot) \to (\mathbb{N}, +)$ by $\Omega(p_1^{e_1} \cdots p_k^{e_k}) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} e_i$, where $p_1, \ldots, p_k$ are distinct primes. Since Ω is surjective, Lemma 4.4 gives that the *multiplicatively even and odd numbers*

$$E_{\mathbb{N}} = \Omega^{-1}\big(2\mathbb{N}\big) \qquad O_{\mathbb{N}} = \Omega^{-1}\big(2\mathbb{N} - 1\big)$$

are both syndetic subsets of $(\mathbb{N}, \cdot)$. In fact, $E_{\mathbb{N}}$ is multiplicatively IP_2^*. The homomorphism Ω extends naturally to a homomorphism $(\mathbb{Q} \setminus \{0\}, \cdot) \to (\mathbb{Z}, +)$, allowing us to define the multiplicatively even and odd rational numbers. We will say more about these sets in Section 5.1.

(II) The natural logarithm $\log : ((0,\infty), \cdot) \to (\mathbb{R}, +)$ is a surjective semigroup homomorphism. Since Liouville numbers are thick in $(\mathbb{R}, +)$[4], the set of positive real numbers whose logarithm is a Liouville number is multiplicatively thick in $(0, \infty)$. In Section 5.2 we will consider the logarithm on $(\mathbb{N}, \cdot)$ and use it to generate an interesting class of multiplicatively syndetic sets.

[4]Since the Liouville numbers $L \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ are a dense G_δ set, even more is true: for any countable set $F \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, the set $\cap_{f \in F}(-f + L)$ is non-empty, meaning there exists an $x \in \mathbb{R}$ for which $F + x \subseteq L$.

(III) The determinant $\det : (M_d(\mathbb{N}) \cap GL_d(\mathbb{Q}), \cdot) \to (\mathbb{N}, \cdot)$ is a surjective semigroup homomorphism. Let A be the set of those $n \in \mathbb{N}$ which contain in their canonical prime factorization a term of the form p^{100}; it is shown in [BBHS, Pg. 1223] that A is $\mathcal{PS}^*$ in $(\mathbb{N}, +)$. Thus, the set of those matrices $M \in M_d(\mathbb{N}) \cap GL_d(\mathbb{Q})$ with $\Omega(\det(M)) \in A$ is $\mathcal{PS}^*$ in $(M_d(\mathbb{N}) \cap GL_d(\mathbb{Q}), \cdot)$.

(IV) For any $a \in \mathbb{F}_p$, evaluation $f(x) \mapsto f(a)$ is a surjective homomorphism from $(\mathbb{F}_p[x], +)$ to $(\mathbb{F}_p, +)$ and from $(\mathbb{F}_p[x] \setminus \{0\}, \cdot)$ to $(\mathbb{F}_p \setminus \{0\}, \cdot)$. Thus, the set of those non-zero polynomials which take 1 at a is both additively syndetic in $(\mathbb{F}_p[x], +)$ and multiplicatively syndetic in $(\mathbb{F}_p[x] \setminus \{0\}, \cdot)$.

Because dilation is rarely surjective, Lemma 4.4 does not yield information about the additive largeness of dilated sets. The following lemma addresses this and will be useful several times throughout the paper. It says that if the image of a semigroup homomorphism is "large," then the image of any "large" set is "large."

Lemma 4.6. *Let $(S, \cdot)$, $(T, \cdot)$ be semigroups, $\varphi : (S, \cdot) \to (T, \cdot)$ be a homomorphism, $A \subseteq S$, and $r \in \mathbb{N}$.*

(I) If A is central in S and $\varphi(S)$ is piecewise syndetic in T, then $\varphi(A)$ is central in T.
(II) If A is $IP_r/IP_0/IP$ in S, then $\varphi(A)$ is $IP_r/IP_0/IP$ in T, respectively.
(III) If A is IP_0^* in S and $\varphi(S)$ is IP_0^* in T, then $\varphi(A)$ is IP_0^* in T.
(IV) If A is affinely rich in S and $\varphi(S)$ is IP_0^* in T, then $\varphi(A)$ is affinely rich in T.
(V) Suppose $(S, \cdot)$ and $(T, \cdot)$ satisfy (SFC+). If $d^*(A) > 0$ and $d^*(\varphi(S)) > 0$, then $d^*(\varphi(A)) > 0$.

Proof. (I) (We employ here, and only here, the machinery of ultrafilters and algebra in the Stone-Čech compactification βS of the semigroup $(S, \cdot)$. The reader is referred to [HS3, Chapter 4] for the requisite definitions.) The homomorphism φ from the (discrete) semigroup $(S, \cdot)$ to $(T, \cdot)$ induces a homomorphism Φ from the (compact right topological) semigroup $(\beta S, \cdot)$ to $(\beta T, \cdot)$ defined for $p \in \beta S$ by

$$\Phi(p) = \big\{B \subseteq T \;\big|\; \varphi^{-1}B \in p\big\}.$$

For any $C \subseteq S$, $\Phi(\overline{C}) \subseteq \overline{\varphi(C)}$, and it is an exercise to show that $\Phi(\beta S) = \overline{\varphi(S)}$.

Denote by $K(\beta S)$ and $K(\beta T)$ the smallest (minimal) two-sided ideal of $(\beta S, \cdot)$ and $(\beta T, \cdot)$, respectively. Since $\varphi(S)$ is piecewise syndetic in T, $\overline{\varphi(S)} \cap K(\beta T) \neq \emptyset$ ([HS3, Theorem 4.40]). Since $\overline{\varphi(S)} = \Phi(\beta S)$, this shows that $\Phi^{-1}(K(\beta T)) \neq \emptyset$. Since the preimage under Φ of any two-sided ideal is a two-sided ideal, $\Phi^{-1}(K(\beta T))$ is a nonempty two-sided ideal, so it contains $K(\beta S)$. This means $\Phi(K(\beta S)) \subseteq K(\beta T)$.

Denote by $E(\beta S)$ and $E(\beta T)$ the set of idempotents of $(\beta S, \cdot)$ and $(\beta T, \cdot)$, respectively. Since Φ is a homomorphism, $\Phi(E(\beta S)) \subseteq E(\beta T)$. By the definition of A being central in S, $\overline{A} \cap K(\beta S) \cap E(\beta S) \neq \emptyset$. Applying Φ, we see that

$$\Phi(\overline{A}) \cap \Phi(K(\beta S)) \cap \Phi(E(\beta S)) \neq \emptyset, \quad \text{whereby} \quad \overline{\varphi(A)} \cap K(\beta T) \cap E(\beta T) \neq \emptyset.$$

This implies that $\varphi(A)$ is central in T.

(II) If $\mathrm{FS}(s_i)_{i=1}^r \subseteq A$, then $\mathrm{FS}(\varphi(s_i))_{i=1}^r = \varphi(\mathrm{FS}(s_i)_{i=1}^r) \subseteq \varphi(A)$. The other statements follow similarly.

(III) Let $r, t \in \mathbb{N}$ so that A is and IP_r^* set in S and $\varphi(S)$ is an IP_t^* set in T. We will show that $\varphi(A)$ is an IP_{rt}^* set in T by showing that for every $(t_i)_{i=1}^{rt} \subseteq T$, there exists a non-empty $\gamma \subseteq \{1, \dots, rt\}$ for which $t_\gamma \in \varphi(A)$.

Let $(t_i)_{i=1}^{rt} \subseteq S$. There exist disjoint, non-empty $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r \subseteq \{1, \dots, rt\}$ such that for all $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$, $t_{\alpha_i} \in \varphi(S)$. For each i, let $s_i \in S$ be such that $\varphi(s_i) = t_{\alpha_i}$. Since A is IP_r^* in S, there exists a non-empty $\beta \subseteq \{1, \dots, r\}$ such that $s_\beta \in A$. Applying φ and using that the α_i's are disjoint, we see that there exists a $\gamma \subseteq \{1, \dots, rt\}$ for which $t_\gamma \in \varphi(A)$.

(IV) Let $r \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\varphi(S)$ is IP_r^* in T. Let $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, and let $\ell_0 = \ell(n, m)$ guaranteed by Definition 2.7 for the affinely rich set $A \subseteq S$. Set $\ell = r\ell_0$, and let $(t_i)_{i=1}^{\ell} \subseteq T$. Since $\varphi(S)$ is IP_r^* in T, for each $j \in \{1, \dots, \ell_0\}$, there exists $\alpha_j \subseteq \{(j-1)r+1, \dots, jr+1\}$ and $s_j \in S$ such that $t_{\alpha_j} = \varphi(s_j)$ (in the notation of Definition 2.3). Since A is affinely rich, there exists a variable m-space w in $[n]^{\ell_0}$ with image Ω_0 and an $s \in S$ for which

$$\left\{ s_1^{\omega_1 - 1} \cdots s_{\ell_0}^{\omega_{\ell_0} - 1} s \;\middle|\; \omega \in \Omega_0 \right\} \subseteq A. \tag{4.1}$$

Define a variable m-word v in $[n]^\ell$ by putting the letter w_j at those indices in α_j for each $j \in \{1, \dots, \ell\}$ and 1 elsewhere. Setting Ω to be the image of this new variable m-space, we see by applying φ to the set in (4.1) that

$$\left\{ t_1^{\omega_1 - 1} \cdots t_\ell^{\omega_\ell - 1} \varphi(s) \;\middle|\; \omega \in \Omega \right\} \subseteq \varphi(A),$$

whereby $\varphi(A)$ is affinely rich.

(V) Suppose $d_S^*(A) \geq \alpha$ and $d_T^*(\varphi(S)) \geq \beta$. We will show that $d_T^*(\varphi(A)) \geq \alpha\beta$ with the help of Theorem 3.5. Let $\mathfrak{F}$ be a finite multiset in T with support F. There exists $z \in T$ such that $|\mathfrak{F} \cap \varphi(S)z^{-1}| \geq \beta|\mathfrak{F}|$. Define the multiset $\mathfrak{F}'$ with support $F' = Fz \cap \varphi(S)$ by

$$\mathfrak{F}'(f') = \sum_{\substack{f \in F \cap \varphi(S)z^{-1} \\ fz = f'}} \mathfrak{F}(f).$$

Note that

$$|\mathfrak{F}'| = \sum_{f' \in F'} \sum_{\substack{f \in F \cap \varphi(S)z^{-1} \\ fz = f'}} \mathfrak{F}(f) = \sum_{f \in F \cap \varphi(S)z^{-1}} \mathfrak{F}(f) = |\mathfrak{F} \cap \varphi(S)z^{-1}| \geq \beta|\mathfrak{F}|.$$

Since $F' \subseteq \varphi(S)$, there exists an $H \subseteq S$ such that $|H| = |F'|$ and $\varphi(H) = F'$. Define the multiset $\mathfrak{H}$ with support H by $\mathfrak{H}(h) = \mathfrak{F}'(\varphi(h))$, and note that $|\mathfrak{H}| = |\mathfrak{F}'|$. Since $\mathfrak{H}$ is a multiset in S, there exists an $s \in S$ such that $|\mathfrak{H} \cap As^{-1}| \geq \alpha|\mathfrak{H}|$. Let $t = \varphi(s)$. We see that

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha\beta|\mathfrak{F}| \leq |\mathfrak{H} \cap As^{-1}| &= \sum_{h \in H} \mathfrak{H}(h) \mathbb{1}_A(hs) \\
&\leq \sum_{f' \in F'} \mathfrak{F}'(f') \mathbb{1}_{\varphi(A)}(f't) \\
&= \sum_{f' \in F'} \sum_{\substack{f \in F \cap \varphi(S)z^{-1} \\ fz = f'}} \mathfrak{F}(f) \mathbb{1}_{\varphi(A)t^{-1}}(fz) \\
&= \sum_{f \in F \cap \varphi(S)z^{-1}} \mathfrak{F}(f) \mathbb{1}_{\varphi(A)t^{-1}z^{-1}}(f) \leq |\mathfrak{F} \cap \varphi(A)(zt)^{-1}|.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathfrak{F}$ was arbitrary, this shows that $d_T^*(\varphi(A)) \geq \alpha\beta$. □

Taking the homomorphism φ to be dilation on the left (or right) in a semiring, we get the following corollary.

Corollary 4.7. *Let $(S,+,\cdot)$ be a semiring, $A \subseteq S$, $s \in S$, and $r \in \mathbb{N}$.*

(I) If A is central in $(S,+)$ and sS is piecewise syndetic in $(S,+)$, then sA is central in $(S,+)$.
(II) If A is $IP_r/IP_0/IP$ in $(S,+)$, then sA is $IP_r/IP_0/IP$ in $(S,+)$, respectively.
(III) If A and sS are IP_0^* in $(S,+)$, then sA is IP_0^* in $(S,+)$.
(IV) If A is affinely rich in $(S,+)$ and sS is IP_0^* in $(S,+)$, then sA is affinely rich in $(S,+)$.
(V) If $d^*_{(S,+)}(A) > 0$ and $d^*_{(S,+)}(sS) > 0$, then $d^*_{(S,+)}(sA) > 0$.

All of these results hold with sA and sS replaced by As and Ss, respectively.

Thus, in a semiring $(S,+,\cdot)$, an additively large subset $A \subseteq S$ remains additively large under left dilation by an element $s \in S$ when the principal right ideal sS is sufficiently additively large. The semirings $\mathbb{N}$, $\mathbb{Z}$, $M_d(\mathbb{Z})$, and $\mathbb{F}_p[x]$ all have the property that (non-zero) principal multiplicative ideals are additively IP_0^*. An example of a semiring which does not have this property is $\mathbb{N}[x]$, for $x\mathbb{N}[x]$ is not even additively affinely rich.

In the following sections, we explain the extent to which multiplicative largeness begets additive largeness and vice versa. More precisely, given a semiring $(S,+,\cdot)$ and two classes of largeness $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{Y}$ from Section 2, we will show that

$$\mathcal{X}(S,\cdot) \subseteq \mathcal{Y}(S,+)$$

or provide an example to the contrary. We will exhaust the possible statements "if A is multiplicatively $\mathcal{X}$, then A is additively $\mathcal{Y}$."

A summary of these results is contained in Figure 2. In Sections 5, 6, and 7 we give the positive results (solid arrows in the diagram), showing how multiplicative largeness implies additive largeness. In Section 8 we prove the negative results (dashed arrows in the diagram), giving examples to show that the positive results are optimal for general semirings.

5. Multiplicative syndeticity implies additive centrality

It was shown in [Ber5, Lemma 5.11] that multiplicatively syndetic subsets of $\mathbb{N}$ must be additively central. In this section, we extend this result to semirings and prove related results and applications.

The following examples illustrate two problems that arise when generalizing this result to semirings.

(I) In $(\mathbb{Z},+,\cdot)$, a set is multiplicatively syndetic if and only if it contains zero. The set $\{0\}$, however, is not additively central (it is not even additively affinely rich).
(II) In $(\mathbb{N}[x],+,\cdot)$, the set $x\mathbb{N}[x]$ is multiplicatively syndetic but is not additively central (it is not even additively affinely rich).

We address both of these issues in the following theorem with a more general formulation than might be expected. To address (I), we show that it suffices for A to be syndetic in $(\mathbb{Z}\setminus\{0\},\cdot)$, a multiplicative sub-semigroup of $\mathbb{Z}$ which is additively



FIGURE 2. Containment amongst multiplicative and additive classes of largeness. A solid arrow $\mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$ indicates that $\mathcal{X}(S,\cdot) \subseteq \mathcal{Y}(S,+)$ for suitable semirings $(S,+,\cdot)$. A dashed arrow $\mathcal{X} \dashrightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ indicates that $\mathcal{X}(\mathbb{N},\cdot) \not\subseteq \mathcal{Y}(\mathbb{N},+)$.

central. To address (II), we stipulate that the semiring has additively large principal multiplicative right ideals.

We use the notation $(R,\cdot) \leq (S,\cdot)$ to indicate that $(R,\cdot)$ is a sub-semigroup of the semigroup $(S,\cdot)$. The following theorem says that in suitable semirings, *multiplicative syndeticity implies additive centrality.*

Theorem 5.1. *Let $(S,+,\cdot)$ be a semiring. Suppose that*

$$A \subseteq (R,\cdot) \leq (S,\cdot),$$

where R is central in $(S,+)$ and for all $r \in R$, the set rS is piecewise syndetic in $(S,+)$. If A is syndetic in $(R,\cdot)$, then A is central in $(S,+)$.

Proof. Since A is syndetic in $(R,\cdot)$, there exist $r_1,\dots,r_k \in R$ for which $R = \cup_{i=1}^k r_i^{-1}A$, where each $r_i^{-1}A$ is computed in R. When computed in S, we have that $R \subseteq \cup_{i=1}^k r_i^{-1}A$. Since R is central in $(S,+)$ and the class $\mathcal{C}(S,+)$ is partition regular (Remark 2.5), there exists an $i \in \{1,\dots,k\}$ such that $r_i^{-1}A$ is central in

$(S,+)$. By Corollary 4.7 (I), since r_iS is piecewise syndetic in $(S,+)$, the set $r_ir_i^{-1}A$ is central in $(S,+)$. Since $r_ir_i^{-1}A \subseteq A$, this implies that A is central in $(S,+)$. □

We present an application of Theorem 5.4 to division rings in Section 5.1. The following is another application.

Corollary 5.2. *The set*

$$A = \left\{ M \in M_d(\mathbb{Z}) \;\middle|\; \det(M) \text{ a multiplicatively odd integer} \right\}$$

is central in $(M_d(\mathbb{Z}),+)$.

Proof. Let $R = GL_d(\mathbb{Q}) \cap M_d(\mathbb{Z})$. The corollary follows from Theorem 5.1 if we verify that 1) $A' = A \cap R$ is syndetic in $(R,\cdot)$, 2) R is central in $(M_d(\mathbb{Z}),+)$, and 3) for all $W \in R$, $WM_d(\mathbb{Z})$ is piecewise syndetic in $(M_d(\mathbb{Z}),+)$. To see 1), let $W \in R$ be such that $\det(W)$ is multiplicatively odd, and note that $R = A' \cup W^{-1}A'$. To show 2), it suffices to show that R is thick in $M_d(\mathbb{Z})$. Let $F \subseteq M_d(\mathbb{Z})$, and note that for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}$, every matrix in the set $\lambda \mathrm{Id} + F$ is invertible. Finally, it is a short exercise to show that for all $W \in R$, the set $WM_d(\mathbb{Z})$ is IP_0^*, hence piecewise syndetic, in $(M_d(\mathbb{Z}),+)$, proving 3). □

The conclusion of the previous corollary holds with "odd" replaced by "even" with the same proof. In particular, the sets of multiplicatively even and odd positive integers are both additively central in $\mathbb{N}$.

The following corollary is a dual form of Theorem 5.1 which says that *sets which have non-empty intersection with every additively central set are multiplicatively thick*.

Corollary 5.3. *Let* $(S,+,\cdot)$ *be a semiring. Suppose that*

$$A \subseteq (R,\cdot) \leq (S,\cdot),$$

where R *is central in* $(S,+)$ *and for all* $r \in R$, rS *is piecewise syndetic in* $(S,+)$. *If* A *is* $\mathcal{C}^*$ *in* $(S,+)$, *then* $A \cap R$ *is thick in* $(R,\cdot)$.

5.1. **Multiplicatively syndetic subgroups of fields.** For subgroups of the multiplicative group of an infinite division ring, multiplicative syndeticity implies considerably more than additive centrality. Let $(\mathbb{F},+,\cdot)$ be a division ring (a unital ring in which every non-zero element has a multiplicative inverse), and let $\mathbb{F}^* = \mathbb{F} \setminus \{0\}$.[5] Note that a subgroup of the group $(\mathbb{F}^*,\cdot)$ is syndetic if and only if it is of finite index. We prove in the following theorem that syndetic multiplicative subgroups of $(\mathbb{F}^*,\cdot)$ and their cosets are thick in $(\mathbb{F},+)$; see [Ber4, Remark 5.23].

Theorem 5.4. *Let* $(\mathbb{F},+,\cdot)$ *be an infinite division ring and* Γ *be a finite index subgroup of* $(\mathbb{F}^*,\cdot)$. *For all* $g \in \mathbb{F}^*$, *the sets* $g\Gamma$ *and* Γg *are thick in* $(\mathbb{F},+)$; *in particular,* Γ *is thick in* $(\mathbb{F},+)$.

Proof. Since $\mathbb{F}$ is infinite, the set $\{0\}$ is not syndetic in $(\mathbb{F},+)$. It follows that $\mathbb{F}^*$ is thick, hence central, in $(\mathbb{F},+)$. Since Γ is syndetic in $(\mathbb{F}^*,\cdot)$, Theorem 5.1 gives that Γ is central in $(\mathbb{F},+)$. It follows that Γ is an IP set in $(\mathbb{F},+)$ and that $d^*_{(\mathbb{F},+)}(\Gamma) > 0$.

Let $g \in \mathbb{F}^*$. We will show that Γg is thick in $(\mathbb{F},+)$. Let $F \in \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{F})$. Since Γ is an IP set in $(\mathbb{F},+)$, Corollary 4.7 (II) gives that $g^{-1}\Gamma$ is an IP set in $(\mathbb{F},+)$. Since $d^*_{(\mathbb{F},+)}(\Gamma) > 0$, Corollary 6.7 gives that there exists $g^{-1}\gamma \in g^{-1}\Gamma$ and $z \in \mathbb{F}$ for

[5]The notation $\mathbb{F}^*$ is not be confused with the star notation for dual classes from Definition 2.2.

which $z + Fg^{-1}\gamma \subseteq \Gamma$. Multiplying on the right by $\gamma^{-1}g$, we see that $z\gamma^{-1}g + F \subseteq \Gamma\gamma^{-1}g = \Gamma g$. Since $F \in \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{F})$ was arbitrary, this shows that Γg is additively thick.

That the set $g\Gamma$ is thick in $(\mathbb{F}, +)$ follows in the same way from Corollary 6.7 by using that Γg^{-1} is an IP set in $(\mathbb{F}, +)$. □

A subset A of a left amenable semigroup is thick if and only if it is the support a left invariant mean λ, i.e. $\lambda(\mathbb{1}_A) = 1$ (see [Pat, Prop. 1.21]). The proof of Theorem 5.4 shows how to use the IP Szemerédi theorem to improve the statement "Γ is an IP set in $(\mathbb{F}, +)$ and $d^*_{(\mathbb{F},+)}(\Gamma) > 0$" to the statement "$d^*_{(\mathbb{F},+)}(\Gamma) = 1$." If $g_1\Gamma, \ldots, g_k\Gamma$ are the left cosets of Γ, then for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$, $d^*_{(\mathbb{F},+)}(g_i\Gamma) = 1$. Since cosets are disjoint, any mean supported on one coset gives zero density to all other cosets. This shows that if $(\mathbb{F}^*, \cdot)$ has subgroups of large (finite) index, then it has many invariant means with disjoint support.

It is not known whether the multiplicatively even integers in $\mathbb{N}$ are additively thick. This is a specific instance of the problem of determining whether any finite word with letters from the set $\{-1, 1\}$ is witnessed infinitely often as consecutive values of the Liouville function $\lambda : \mathbb{N} \to \{-1, 1\}$, the completely multiplicative function taking the value -1 at every prime; see [BE] for more details.

Theorem 5.4 yields a positive answer to a version of this problem in $\mathbb{Q}$. The multiplicatively even / odd rational numbers are those for which Ω (defined in Example 4.5) on $\mathbb{Q} \setminus \{0\}$ evaluates to an even / odd integer, respectively.

Corollary 5.5. *Let $\varphi : \mathbb{Q} \setminus \{0\} \to \{-1, 1\}$ be completely multiplicative. The set $\varphi^{-1}(\{1\})$ is thick in $(\mathbb{Q}, +)$. If $\varphi \not\equiv 1$, then $\varphi^{-1}(\{-1\})$ is thick in $(\mathbb{Q}, +)$ as well. In particular, the multiplicatively even and odd rational numbers are additively thick.*

Proof. The corollary follows immediately from Theorem 5.4 by verifying that the set $\varphi^{-1}(\{1\})$ is a subgroup of $(\mathbb{Q}\setminus\{0\}, \cdot)$ of finite index. We provide now an alternate proof of this corollary using Hindman's theorem [Hin] and the IP van der Waerden theorem [FW, Section 3].

Let $A = \varphi^{-1}(\{1\})$ and $F \in \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{Q})$. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ so that $\varphi(m) = 1$ and $mF \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$. By Hindman's theorem, either $A \cap \mathbb{N}$ or $\mathbb{N} \setminus A$ is an additive IP set in $\mathbb{N}$; multiplying by an $n \in \mathbb{N}$ for which $\varphi(n) = -1$, we see that both sets are additive IP sets. By the IP van der Waerden theorem, either

$$\left\{d \in \mathbb{N} \;\middle|\; \exists x \in \mathbb{N},\ x + dmF \subseteq A \cap \mathbb{N}\right\}$$

is an IP* set, or the same set with $\mathbb{N} \setminus A$ in place of $A \cap \mathbb{N}$ is an IP* set. In the first case, let d be from the set such that $\varphi(d) = 1$; in the second, choose d so $\varphi(d) = -1$. Either way, on dividing, $x/(dm) + F \subseteq A$. □

Taking advantage of *uniformity* in Corollary 6.7, a finitary version of Theorem 5.4 holds for finite fields. The following theorem is a generalization of [Ber4, Theorem 1.1], which is a generalization of Schur's result [Sch] that Fermat's Last Theorem fails in finite fields.

Theorem 5.6. *For all $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists an $N = N(n, k) \in \mathbb{N}$ with the following property. For all finite fields $\mathbb{F}$ with $|\mathbb{F}| \geq N$ and all $F \subseteq \mathbb{F}$ with $|F| \leq n$, there exists a non-zero $x \in \mathbb{F}$ for which every element of $x^k + F$ is a non-zero k^{th} power.*

Proof. Using the partition regularity of the class $\mathcal{IP}_0$ (see Remark 2.5 and [BR, Proposition 2.3]), let $R \in \mathbb{N}$ be large enough that one cell of any partition of an

IP_R set into k parts is guaranteed to be an IP_r set, where $r = r(n+1, 1/(2k))$ is from Corollary 6.7. Let $N > 2^R$.

Let $\mathbb{F}$ be a finite field with $|\mathbb{F}| \geq N$. To see that $\mathbb{F}^*$ is an IP_R set, let $x_1 \in \mathbb{F}^*$. Let $x_2 \in \mathbb{F}^* \setminus (-\{x_1\})$, and note $\mathrm{FS}(x_1, x_2) \subseteq \mathbb{F}^*$. Choose $x_3 \in \mathbb{F}^* \setminus (-\mathrm{FS}(x_1, x_2))$, and note $\mathrm{FS}(x_1, x_2, x_3) \subseteq \mathbb{F}^*$. Repeat this process to build and IP_R set in $\mathbb{F}^*$.

Let Γ be the subgroup of $(\mathbb{F}^*, \cdot)$ consisting of non-zero k^{th} powers. Since Γ is of index at most k, by our choice of R, there exists a $g \in \mathbb{F}$ such that $g\Gamma$ is an IP_r set in $(\mathbb{F}, +)$. By Corollary 4.7 (II), Γ is an IP_r set in $(\mathbb{F}, +)$.

Let $F \subseteq \mathbb{F}$ with $|F| \leq n$. Using Corollary 6.7 in the same way as it was applied in the proof of Theorem 5.4, there exists a $z \in \mathbb{F}$ for which $z + F \subseteq \Gamma$. Consider the set $\{z\} \cup (z + F)$. Using Corollary 6.7 in the same way, there exists a $y \in \mathbb{F}$ for which $\{y + z\} \cup (y + z + F) \subseteq \Gamma$. Since $y + z \in \Gamma$, there exists a non-zero $x \in \mathbb{F}$ for which $y + z = x^k$. It follows that $x^k + F \subseteq \Gamma$. □

It follows in the same way as in the proof of Theorem 5.4 that any coset of the subgroup of non-zero k^{th} powers satisfies the conclusion in Theorem 5.6.

5.2. **Lower density and a class of syndetic sets in $(\mathbb{N}, \cdot)$.** According to Theorem 5.1, multiplicatively syndetic subsets of suitable semirings are additively central. In particular, they have positive additive density. In fact, more is true: multiplicatively syndetic sets have positive lower density along Følner sequences in $(S, +)$ which are well behaved with respect to the multiplication.

Lemma 5.7. *Let $(S, +, \cdot)$ be a countable semiring with $(S, \cdot)$ left cancellative. Suppose $(F_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a Følner sequence for $(S, +)$ with the property that for all $s_1, \ldots, s_k \in S$, $\liminf_{n\to\infty} |\cap_{i=1}^k s_i^{-1} F_n| / |F_n| > 0$. If $A \subseteq (S, \cdot)$ is syndetic, then*

$$\underline{d}_{(F_n)}(A) := \liminf_{n\to\infty} \frac{|A \cap F_n|}{|F_n|} > 0.$$

Proof. Suppose $\underline{d}_{(F_n)}(A) = 0$. To show that A is not syndetic in $(S, \cdot)$, we need only to show that for any $s_1, \ldots, s_k \in S$, $\cup_{i=1}^k s_i^{-1} A \neq S$.

Let $s_1, \ldots, s_k \in S$, $G_n = \cap_{i=1}^k s_i^{-1} F_n$, and $0 < \epsilon < \liminf_{n\to\infty} |G_n|/|F_n|$. Choose $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|A \cap F_n| < \epsilon |F_n|/k$ and $|G_n| > \epsilon |F_n|$. By left cancellativity, $|s_i^{-1} A \cap G_n| \leq |A \cap F_n| < \epsilon |F_n|/k$. Therefore, $|\cup_{i=1}^k s_i^{-1} A \cap G_n| < \epsilon |F_n|$. Since $|G_n| > \epsilon |F_n|$, this shows that $\cup_{i=1}^k s_i^{-1} A \neq S$. □

If $(F_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a Følner sequence for $(S, \cdot)$, then for all $s_1, \ldots, s_k \in S$, $|\cap_{i=1}^k s_i^{-1} F_n| / |F_n| \to 1$ as $n \to \infty$. Thus Lemma 5.7 says that any multiplicatively syndetic set has positive lower asymptotic density along sequences $(F_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ which are Følner for both $(S, +)$ and $(S, \cdot)$. Such Følner sequences are not guaranteed to exist; it is an exercise, for example, to show that no such double Følner sequence exists in $\mathbb{N}$.

It follows from Theorem 3.5 that a subset of a countable, commutative semigroup $(S, +)$ is syndetic if and only if for every Følner sequence $(F_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, $\underline{d}_{(F_n)}(A) > 0$. The conclusion of Lemma 5.7 can thus be interpreted as being a weaker form of additive syndeticity for the set A: while A may not be additively syndetic, it does have positive asymptotic lower density along certain Følner sequences.

The following is an immediate corollary of Lemma 5.7 for subsets of the positive integers. It is related to [BM2, Theorem 6.3], where it is shown that if $\overline{d}_{(\mathbb{N},+)}(A) = 1$, then $\overline{d}_{(\mathbb{N},+)}(n^{-1}A) = 1$.

Corollary 5.8. *Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$. If A is syndetic in $(\mathbb{N}, \cdot)$, then*

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} \frac{|A \cap \{1, \dots, n\}|}{n} > 0.$$

We conclude this section by describing a new class of multiplicatively syndetic subsets of $\mathbb{N}$. These sets provide applications for Theorem 5.1 and Corollary 5.8 and will appear again in applications in Section 6. We begin by demonstrating uniformity in certain exponential sums.

Lemma 5.9. *Let $c \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ and $d \in \mathbb{N}$. For all $\epsilon > 0$, there exists an $N_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $N > N_0$ and all $f \in \mathbb{R}[x]$ of degree d and leading coefficient c,*

$$\left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} e^{2\pi i f(n)} \right| < \epsilon.$$

Proof. Since c is irrational, Dirichlet's approximation theorem gives that there exists $(N_i)_{i=1}^{\infty} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ and $(a_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}$ with $N_i \to \infty$, $(N_i, a_i) = 1$, and $|c - a_i/N_i| \leq N_i^{-2}$. We now apply Weyl's Inequality [Dav, Lemma 3.1] with "ϵ" as 2^{-d}: there exists a constant $C = C(d)$ such that for all $M \in \mathbb{Z}$, for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$, and for all $f \in \mathbb{R}[x]$ with degree d and leading coefficient c,

$$\left| \sum_{n=M+1}^{M+N_i} e^{2\pi i f(n)} \right| \leq C N_i^{1-1/2^d}.$$

This sum is uniform in M because the leading coefficient of a polynomial remains invariant under translating the argument.

Let $\epsilon > 0$ and choose $i \in \mathbb{N}$ large so that $CN_i^{-1/2^d} < \epsilon/2$. For all $N > 2N_i\epsilon^{-1}$ and for all $f \in \mathbb{R}[x]$ with degree d and leading coefficient c,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{n=1}^{N} e^{2\pi i f(n)} \right| &\leq \sum_{h=1}^{\lfloor N/N_i \rfloor - 1} \left| \sum_{n=hN_i+1}^{(h+1)N_i} e^{2\pi i f(n)} \right| + \left| \sum_{n=\lfloor N/N_i \rfloor N_i + 1}^{N} e^{2\pi i f(n)} \right| \\ &\leq \left\lfloor \frac{N}{N_i} \right\rfloor C N_i^{1-1/2^d} + N_i < N\epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

This shows the uniformity in the desired estimate. □

In the results that follow, denote by $\{x\}$ the fractional part of $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

Lemma 5.10. *Let $p \in \mathbb{R}[x]$ be a non-constant polynomial with leading coefficient c, and suppose $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ is such that $c\alpha^d \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$. The sequence $\big(p(n\alpha + \beta)\big)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is ϵ-dense modulo 1 uniformly in β: for all $\epsilon > 0$, there exists an $N \in \mathbb{N}$, for all $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$, the set $\big\{p(n\alpha + \beta)\big\}_{n=1}^{N}$ is ϵ-dense modulo 1.*

Proof. Let $\epsilon > 0$. We will prove that there exists an $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$D_N := \sup_{0\leq a<b\leq 1} \left| \frac{|\{1 \leq n \leq N \mid \{p(n\alpha + \beta)\} \in [a, b)\}|}{N} - (b - a) \right| < \epsilon.$$

By an inequality of LeVeque [KN, Ch. 2, Theorem 2.4],

$$D_N \leq \left(\frac{6}{\pi^2} \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{h^2} \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} e^{2\pi i h p(\alpha n + \beta)} \right|^2 \right)^{1/3}.$$

By truncating the sum on h at some sufficiently large $H \in \mathbb{N}$, it suffices to furnish $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $1 \leq h \leq H$ and all $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} e^{2\pi i h p(\alpha n + \beta)} \right| < \sqrt{\frac{\epsilon^3}{2}}. \tag{5.1}$$

Let $h \in \{1, \dots, H\}$. Since the leading coefficient of the polynomial $hp(\alpha n + \beta)$ is irrational, Lemma 5.9 gives the existence of N_h satisfying (5.1) uniformly in β. Take $N = \max_{1 \leq h \leq H} N_h$. □

Theorem 5.11. *Let $p \in \mathbb{R}[x]$ be a non-constant polynomial with leading coefficient c. Let $\varphi : (\mathbb{N}, \cdot) \to (\mathbb{R}, +)$ be a semigroup homomorphism, and suppose that there exists an $a \in \mathbb{N}$ for which $c\varphi(a)^d \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$. For any interval $I \subseteq [0, 1)$, the set*

$$A_I = \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} \;\middle|\; \{p(\varphi(n))\} \in I \right\} \tag{5.2}$$

is multiplicatively syndetic in $(\mathbb{N}, \cdot)$.

Proof. Put $\epsilon = |I|/2$ and take N from Lemma 5.10 so that the sequence $\big(p(n\varphi(a) + \beta)\big)_{n=1}^{N}$ is ϵ-dense modulo 1 uniformly in β. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$, and put $\beta = \log m$. There exists an $n \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ for which $\{p\big(\varphi(ma^n)\big)\} = \{p(n\varphi(a) + \beta)\} \in I$. Therefore, $ma^n \in A_I$. This shows that the set $F = \{a^1, \dots, a^N\}$ has the property that for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $Fm \cap A_I \neq \emptyset$, proving that A_I is multiplicatively syndetic. □

Corollary 5.12. *Let $p \in \mathbb{R}[x]$ be a non-constant polynomial, and let $I \subseteq [0, 1)$ be an interval. The set*

$$A_I = \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} \;\middle|\; \{p(\log n)\} \in I \right\} \tag{5.3}$$

is multiplicatively syndetic in $(\mathbb{N}, \cdot)$. If the leading coefficient of p is rational, then for every sub-semigroup $(R, \cdot) \leq (\mathbb{N}, \cdot)$, the set $A_I \cap R$ is multiplicatively syndetic in $(R, \cdot)$.

Proof. Denote by c the leading coefficient of p. The Gelfond-Schneider theorem [Niv, pg. 72] gives that one of $c(\log 2)^d$ and $c(\log 3)^d$ is irrational (actually, transcendental). We need only now to apply Theorem 5.11 with φ as log and $a \in \{2, 3\}$ chosen so that $c(\log a)^d$ is irrational. The second statement follows by taking any $r \in R$, noting that $c \log(r)^d$ is irrational, and applying the same proof as in Theorem 5.11. □

Note that by the monotonicity of the logarithm, the set in (5.3) is additively thick. The relationship between additive thickness and the final conclusion in Corollary 5.12 is discussed in Section 8.1.

It follows from Theorem 5.4 that the set in (5.3) is central in $(\mathbb{N}, +)$. This follows easily, however, from the fact that the set is additively thick. Analysis is not so clear in the case that the homomorphism φ in Theorem 5.11 is chosen to be Ω, the prime divisor counting function (with multiplicity) defined in Examples 4.5.

Corollary 5.13. *Let $p \in \mathbb{R}[x]$ be a non-constant polynomial with irrational leading coefficient, and let $I \subseteq [0, 1)$ be an interval. The set*

$$\left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} \;\middle|\; \{p(\Omega(n))\} \in I \right\} \tag{5.4}$$

is multiplicatively syndetic in $(\mathbb{N}, \cdot)$.

It follows from Theorem 5.4 that the set in (5.4) is central in $(\mathbb{N}, +)$. In particular, it is an additive IP set. It is interesting to ask whether or not this can be seen in a more elementary way. We will use the fact that this set is multiplicatively piecewise syndetic in an application to Diophantine approximation in Section 6.2.

Finally it is interesting to note that as a consequence of Corollary 5.8, the sets in (5.2), (5.3), and (5.4) all have positive asymptotic lower density in $(\mathbb{N}, +)$.

6. Multiplicative piecewise syndeticity implies additive IP_0

It was shown in [BH2, Theorem 3.5] that multiplicatively central sets in $\mathbb{N}$ are additively IP_0. In this section, we strengthen this theorem and prove related results. In particular, we elaborate on rich sources of additively IP_0^* sets from measure theoretic and topological dynamics.

The following theorem says that *multiplicative piecewise syndeticity implies additive IP$_0$.*

Theorem 6.1. *Let $(S, +, \cdot)$ be a semiring. Suppose*

$$A \subseteq (R, \cdot) \leq (S, \cdot),$$

where A is piecewise syndetic in $(R, \cdot)$ and R is an IP$_0$ set in $(S, +)$. The set A is an IP$_0$ set in $(S, +)$.

Proof. Since A is piecewise syndetic in $(R, \cdot)$, there exist $r_1, \ldots, r_k \in R$ such that $R' = \cup_{i=1}^k r_i^{-1} A$ (computed in R) is thick in $(R, \cdot)$. Since R is an IP_0 set in $(S, +)$ and R' is thick in $(R, \cdot)$, Corollary 4.7 (II) gives that R' is an IP_0 set in $(S, +)$.

The class of $\mathcal{IP}_0(S, +)$ is partition regular (Remark 2.5). Since $R' \subseteq \cup_{i=1}^k r_i^{-1} A$ (where the right hand side is now computed in S) is an IP_0 set in $(S, +)$, there exists an i for which $r_i^{-1} A$ is an IP_0 set in $(S, +)$. By Corollary 4.7 (II), this means $r_i r_i^{-1} A$ is an IP_0 set in $(S, +)$. The lemma follows since $r_i r_i^{-1} A \subseteq A$. □

This proof of this theorem is similar to the proof of [BBHS, Theorem 1.3], where it is shown that multiplicatively piecewise syndetic subsets of $\mathbb{N}$ contain arbitrarily long arithmetic progressions. We will show much more along these lines in Section 7; see Theorem 7.1.

The following related theorem may be seen as an "infinitary" form of the previous one. It says that *multiplicative syndeticity implies additive IP*. The proof of this theorem is nearly identical to the proof of Theorem 5.1, so we omit it.

Theorem 6.2. *Let $(S, +, \cdot)$ be a semiring. Suppose that*

$$A \subseteq (R, \cdot) \leq (S, \cdot),$$

where A is syndetic in $(R, \cdot)$ and R is an IP in $(S, +)$. The set A is an IP set in $(S, +)$.

The following is an immediate corollary to Theorem 6.1 gotten by considering the dual classes.

Corollary 6.3. *Let $(S, +, \cdot)$ be a semiring. Suppose $(R, \cdot) \leq (S, \cdot)$, where R is IP$_0$ set in $(S, +)$. If $A \subseteq S$ is $\mathcal{IP}_0^*$ in $(S, +)$, then $A \cap R$ is $\mathcal{PS}^*$ in $(R, \cdot)$.*

It is interesting to consider what this corollary says in the case that there are multiple multiplicative structures atop a single additive semigroup which are all "compatible" with the addition. In this case, any additive IP_0^* set is multiplicatively large with respect to *all* of these multiplications.

Example 6.4. Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^2$ be additively IP_0^*. (Such a set could come from Theorems 6.5 or 6.8, for example.) Each of the rings $\left\{\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{c}] \mid c \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ not a square}\right\}$ induces a multiplication $\circledast_c$ on $\mathbb{Z}^2$ (under the usual identification of $x_1 + x_2\sqrt{c}$ with (x_1, x_2)) which makes $(\mathbb{Z}^2, +, \circledast_c)$ into a semiring. Corollary 6.3 gives that $A \setminus \{0\}$ is multiplicatively $\mathcal{PS}^*$ with respect to each of the multiplications $\circledast_c$. The authors showed in [BG, Theorem A] that the families $\mathcal{PS}^*(\mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus \{0\}, \circledast_c)$ are, predominantly, in general position. Thus, the conclusion from Corollary 6.3 that A is large with respect to each of these multiplications consists of countably many genuinely different conclusions.

Corollary 6.3 is made more interesting by the plethora of examples of additive IP_0^* sets arising in dynamics and combinatorics. In the remainder of this section, we describe two sources of IP_0^* sets coming from measure theoretic and topological dynamics. We highlight and prove the uniformity behind the quantifiers in the main theorems below, as it is this uniformity which gives rise to the IP_0 structure of the resulting sets.

6.1. **Additively $\mathbf{IP}_0^*$ sets from measure theoretical dynamics.** The following IP version of Szemerédi's theorem is a far-reaching generalization of Szemerédi's classic theorem on arithmetic progressions in sets of positive upper density in $\mathbb{N}$. The order of the quantifiers in the theorem as it is stated here is implicit in its proof in [FK1].

Theorem 6.5. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\alpha > 0$. There exists $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\beta > 0$ for which the following holds. For all commutative semigroups $(S, +)$, all probability measure spaces $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$, all finite collections of commuting actions $\left\{(T_s^{(i)})_{s\in S}\right\}_{i=1}^n$ of $(S, +)$ on X by measure preserving transformations, and all $A \in \mathcal{B}$ with $\mu(A) \geq \alpha$, the set*

$$\left\{s \in S \mid \mu\left(\left(T_s^{(1)}\right)^{-1} A \cap \cdots \cap \left(T_s^{(n)}\right)^{-1} A\right) \geq \beta\right\}$$

is IP_r^* in $(S, +)$.

The study of sets of return times in measurable dynamics is intricately linked with finding patterns in positive upper Banach density subsets of left amenable semigroups. The connection between these two topics was first explicated by Furstenberg in [Fur1] with a so-called *correspondence principle*; see also [Ber1, Theorem 1.1] and [Ber2, Theorem 4.17]. Using the correspondence principle in Lemma 7.6, one can obtain the following result for countable semigroups. We will prove the more general result below as a direct corollary of the density Hales-Jewett theorem.

Theorem 6.6. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\alpha > 0$. There exists $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\beta > 0$ for which the following holds. For all commutative semigroups $(S, +)$ and $(R, +)$, all finite collections of semigroup homomorphisms $\left\{\varphi_i : S \to R\right\}_{i=1}^n$, and all $A \subseteq R$ with $d^*_{(R,+)}(A) \geq \alpha$, the set*

$$\left\{s \in S \mid d^*_{(R,+)}\left(\left\{t \in R \mid t + \varphi_1(s), \ldots, t + \varphi_n(s) \in A\right\}\right) \geq \beta\right\} \tag{6.1}$$

is IP_r^* in $(S, +)$.

Proof. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\alpha > 0$. Let $0 < \eta < \alpha$. Take $r = L(n, 1, \eta)$ from Theorem 2.10, and put $\beta = (\alpha - \eta)/((1 - \eta)(n + 1)^r)$. Let $(S, +)$ and $(R, +)$ be commutative

semigroups, let $\{\varphi_i : S \to R\}_{i=1}^n$ be a collection of semigroup homomorphisms, and let $A \subseteq R$ with $d^*_{(R,+)}(A) \geq \alpha$.

Let $(s_i)_{i=1}^r \subseteq S$. We must show that the set in (6.1) has non-empty intersection with $(s_i)_{i=1}^r$. Let

$$\begin{aligned}\pi : [n]^r &\to R \\ \omega &\mapsto \varphi_{\omega_1}(s_1) + \cdots + \varphi_{\omega_r}(s_r).\end{aligned}$$

Let $F = \pi([n]^r)$, and define the multiset $\mathfrak{F}$ in R with support F on $f \in F$ by

$$\mathfrak{F}(f) = \big|\{\omega \in [n]^r \;\big|\; \pi(\omega) = f\}\big|.$$

By Theorem 3.2, for $R_\eta(A, \mathfrak{F}) = \{t \in R \mid |\mathfrak{F} \cap (A - t)| \geq \eta|\mathfrak{F}|\}$,

$$d^*_{(R,+)}\big(R_\eta(A, \mathfrak{F})\big) \geq \frac{\alpha - \eta}{1 - \eta}.$$

Abbreviate $R_\eta(A, \mathfrak{F})$ by R_η, and let $t \in R_\eta$. Since $\big|\{\omega \in [n]^r \mid t + \pi(\omega) \in A\}\big| \geq \eta n^r$, there exists a combinatorial line Ω_t in $[n]^r$ such that

$$\{t + \pi(\omega) \mid \omega \in \Omega_t\} \subseteq A.$$

Since $d^*_{(R,+)}$ is sub-additive and there are no more than $(n+1)^r$ combinatorial lines in $[n]^r$, there exists a combinatorial line Ω in $[n]^r$ and a subset $R'_\eta \subseteq R_\eta$ such that $d^*_{(R,+)}(R'_\eta) \geq \beta$ and for all $t \in R'_\eta$,

$$\{t + \pi(\omega) \mid \omega \in \Omega\} \subseteq A.$$

We need only now to interpret this. Since $(R, +)$ is commutative and Ω is a combinatorial line, there exists $u \in R$ and a non-empty $\alpha \subseteq \{1, \ldots, r\}$ such that for all $t \in R'_\eta$,

$$\big\{t + u + \varphi_1(s_\alpha), \ldots, t + u + \varphi_n(s_\alpha)\big\} \subseteq A.$$

This implies that

$$R'_\eta + u \subseteq \big\{t \in R \;\big|\; t + \varphi_1(s_\alpha), \ldots, t + \varphi_n(s_\alpha) \in A\big\}.$$

Since $R'_\eta + u + (-u) \supseteq R'_\eta$ and $d^*_{(R,+)}$ is inverse-translation invariant, we have finally that

$$d^*_{(R,+)}(R'_\eta + u) = d^*_{(R,+)}(R'_\eta + u + (-u)) \geq d^*_{(R,+)}(R'_\eta) \geq \beta,$$

and the conclusion follows. □

The following is an immediate corollary of Theorem 6.6 when applied to semirings $(S, +, \cdot)$ in the following way: put $(R, +) = (S, +)$, and take the homomorphisms φ_i to be multiplication on the left (or right) by fixed elements of S.

Corollary 6.7. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\alpha > 0$. There exists $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\beta > 0$ for which the following holds. Let $(S, +, \cdot)$ be a semiring and $F \subseteq S$ with $|F| \leq n$. For all $A \subseteq S$ with $d^*_{(S,+)}(A) \geq \alpha$, the set*

$$\Big\{s \in S \;\Big|\; d^*_{(S,+)}\big(\big\{t \in S \;\big|\; t + Fs \subseteq A\big\}\big) \geq \beta\Big\}$$

is IP^*_r in $(S, +)$. The same conclusion holds with Fs replaced by sF.

The applications for this theorem are diverse. Instead of developing separate applications here, we mention only that we make use of this theorem no fewer than three times in this paper in Theorems 5.4, 5.6, and 7.8.

6.2. **Additively IP_0^* sets from topological dynamics.** Another main source of additive IP_0^* sets comes from topological dynamics via the following theorem from [BL1]. Given a commutative semiring $(S,+,\cdot)$, by a *polynomial of degree at most d in $S[x_1,\dots,x_n]^t$ with no constant term*, we mean a t-tuple of polynomials, each of which is of degree at most d and has no constant term.

Theorem 6.8. *Let $k,n,d\in\mathbb{N}$ and $\epsilon>0$. There exists an $r\in\mathbb{N}$ for which the following holds. Let $(S,+,\cdot)$ be a commutative semiring and $t\in\mathbb{N}$. Let $p_1,\dots,p_k\in S[x_1,\dots,x_n]^t$ be polynomials of degree at most d with no constant terms. For all compact metric spaces (X,ρ) and all actions $(T_{\mathbf{s}})_{\mathbf{s}\in S^t}$ of $(S^t,+)$ on X by continuous maps, the set*

$$\big\{\mathbf{s}\in S^n \;\big|\; \exists x\in X,\ \forall i\in\{1,\dots,k\},\ \rho\big(x,T_{p_i(\mathbf{s})}x\big)<\epsilon\big\}$$

is IP_r^* in $(S^n,+)$.

The uniformity implied by the order of the quantifiers in the theorem as it is stated here is implicit in the proof in [BL1]. We will prove this uniformity in the context of the following equivalent combinatorial version, which is obtainable from Theorem 6.8 via a topological correspondence principle.

Theorem 6.9. *Let $k,a,n,d\in\mathbb{N}$. There exists an $r\in\mathbb{N}$ for which the following holds. Let $(S,+,\cdot)$ be a commutative semiring and $t\in\mathbb{N}$. Let $p_1,\dots,p_k\in S[x_1,\dots,x_n]^t$ be polynomials of degree at most d with no constant terms. For all colorings $\chi:S^t\to\{1,\dots,a\}$, the set*

$$\big\{\mathbf{s}\in S^n \;\big|\; \exists \mathbf{x}\in S^t,\ \chi\big(\mathbf{x}+p_1(\mathbf{s})\big)=\cdots=\chi\big(\mathbf{x}+p_k(\mathbf{s})\big)\big\} \tag{6.2}$$

is IP_r^* in $(S^n,+)$.

To ease notation in the proof of this theorem, given $r,n\in\mathbb{N}$, let $\mathbf{Y}_{r\times n}$ be an $r\times n$ matrix of indeterminants with rows $\mathbf{y}_i=(y_{i,1}\ \cdots\ y_{i,n})$. For $(T,+)$ a commutative semigroup, denote by $T[\mathbf{Y}_{r\times n}]$ the polynomial semiring $T[y_{i,j}]$ (where $1\le i\le r$ and $1\le j\le n$) in rn indeterminants.

Proposition 6.10. *Let $k,a,n,d\in\mathbb{N}$. There exists an $r\in\mathbb{N}$ for which the following holds. Let $(T,+)$ be a commutative semigroup. Let $p_1,\dots,p_k\in T[x_1,\dots,x_n]$ be polynomials of degree at most d with no constant terms. Given any coloring $\chi:T[\mathbf{Y}_{r\times n}]\to\{1,\dots,a\}$, there exists a non-empty $\alpha\in\{1,\dots,r\}$ and an $h\in T[\mathbf{Y}_{r\times n}]$ for which*

$$\chi\big(h+p_1(\mathbf{y}_\alpha)\big)=\cdots=\chi\big(h+p_k(\mathbf{y}_\alpha)\big),$$

where $\mathbf{y}_\alpha=\sum_{i\in\alpha}\mathbf{y}_i$.

Proof. This follows immediately from [BL1, Prop. 7.3] noting that r depends only on a, k, n and the maximum degree of the polynomials. □

Proof of Theorem 6.9. Let $r=r(k,a,n,d)$ be as in Proposition 6.10. Let $(S,+,\cdot)$ be a commutative semiring and $t\in\mathbb{N}$. Let $p_1,\dots,p_k\in S[x_1,\dots,x_n]^t$ be polynomials of degree at most d with no constant terms. Let $\chi:S^t\to\{1,\dots,a\}$ be a coloring.

To show that the set in (6.2) is an IP_r^* set in S^n, let $(\mathbf{s}_i)_{i=1}^r\subseteq S^n$. Put $(T,+)=(S^t,+)$, and consider the mapping

$$\begin{aligned}\pi: T[\mathbf{Y}_{r\times n}]&\to T\\ y_{i,j}&\mapsto s_{i,j},\end{aligned}$$

where the product of an element of S and an element of $T = S^t$ is given by multiplication in each coordinate. Lift the coloring χ from $T = S^t$ through the map π to a coloring on $T[\mathbf{Y}_{r\times n}]$. Interpret the polynomials $p_1, \dots, p_k \in S[x_1, \dots, x_n]^t$ as polynomials $p_1, \dots, p_k \in T[x_1, \dots, x_n]$; the degrees remain the same and there are still no constant terms. Applying Proposition 6.10 and pushing back through π, we see that there exists a non-empty $\alpha \subseteq \{1, \dots, r\}$ and an $\mathbf{x} \in S^t$ such that

$$\chi\big(\mathbf{x} + p_1(\mathbf{s}_\alpha)\big) = \cdots = \chi\big(\mathbf{x} + p_k(\mathbf{s}_\alpha)\big).$$

This shows that the set in (6.2) has non-empty intersection with the finite sums set generated by $(\mathbf{s}_i)_{i=1}^r$. □

The uniformity in Theorem 6.9 was used in conjunction with a proof that the set of numbers one less than a prime, $\mathbb{P} - 1$, is additively IP_0 in [BLZ] to show that monochromatic polynomial progressions with "step size" of the form $p - 1$ can be found in any finite coloring of $\mathbb{N}$.

We conclude this section by presenting another application of Theorem 6.8 to Diophantine approximation. We denote by $\|(x_1, \dots, x_k)\|$ the Euclidean distance from $(x_1, \dots, x_k)$ to zero on the k-torus $(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})^k$.

Theorem 6.11. *Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ be additively IP$_0$. For all $k, d \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$\min_{\substack{1\le n\le N\\ n\in A}} \big\|(f_1(n), \dots, f_k(n))\big\| \to 0$$

uniformly in polynomials $f_1, \dots, f_k \in \mathbb{R}[x]$ of degree less than or equal to d with no constant term.

Proof. Let $k, d \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\epsilon > 0$. By Theorem 6.8 with $n = 1$, $(S, +, \cdot) = \mathbb{R}$, $t = 1$, $X = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$, and $T_s(x) = x+s$, there exists an $r \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $f_1, \dots, f_k \in \mathbb{R}[x]$ of degree less than or equal to d with no constant term, the set

$$A_{(f_1,\dots,f_k)} = \big\{s \in \mathbb{R} \;\big|\; \big\|\big(f_1(s), \dots, f_k(s)\big)\big\| < \epsilon\big\},$$

is IP_r^* in $(\mathbb{R}, +)$. Since $(\mathbb{N}, +)$ is a sub-semigroup of $(\mathbb{R}, +)$, it follows that $A_{(f_1,\dots,f_k)} \cap \mathbb{N}$ is IP_r^* in $(\mathbb{N}, +)$.

Since A is IP_0 in $(\mathbb{N}, +)$, there exists an $N \in \mathbb{N}$ for which for all $f_1, \dots, f_k \in \mathbb{R}[x]$ of degree less than or equal to d with no constant term, $A \cap A_{(f_1,\dots,f_k)} \cap \{1, \dots, N\}$ is non-empty. This says exactly that for all $f_1, \dots, f_k \in \mathbb{R}[x]$ of degree less than or equal to d with no constant term,

$$\min_{\substack{1\le n\le N\\ n\in A}} \big\|(f_1(n), \dots, f_k(n))\big\| < \epsilon,$$

which proves the desired uniformity. □

This is a multidimensional (multiple polynomials), relative (the n's are restricted to a certain set A), ineffective version of [Bak, Theorem 1]. We conclude this section with a more concrete application.

Corollary 6.12. *Let $p \in \mathbb{R}[x]$ be a non-constant polynomial with irrational leading coefficient, and let $I \subseteq [0, 1)$ be an interval. For all $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\epsilon > 0$, there exists an $N \in \mathbb{N}$ with the following property. For all polynomials $f \in \mathbb{R}[x]$ of degree less than or equal to d with no constant term, there exists $1 \le n \le N$ for which $\{p(\Omega(n))\} \in I$ and $\|f(n)\| < \epsilon$.*

This corollary follows immediately from Theorem 6.11 and Corollary 5.13.

7. Multiplicative density implies additive affine richness

It was proved in [Ber3, Theorem 3.2] that any subset of $\mathbb{N}$ of positive multiplicative density contains arbitrarily long arithmetic progressions. In addition to generalizing this fact to semirings, the following theorem yields a "relativization" of the result: *multiplicatively large subsets of additively rich sets are additively rich.*

Theorem 7.1. *Let $(S,+,\cdot)$ be a semiring. Suppose that*

$$A \subseteq (R,\cdot) \leq (S,\cdot),$$

where $(R,\cdot)$ is left amenable, $d^*_{(R,\cdot)}(A) > 0$, and R is affinely rich in $(S,+)$. The set A satisfies: for all $n,m \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $(s_i)_{i=1}^{\ell} \subseteq S$, there exists a combinatorial m-space $\Omega \in [n]^{\ell}$, $s \in S$, and $r \in R$ such that

$$\big\{(\omega_1 - 1)s_1 r + \cdots + (\omega_\ell - 1)s_\ell r + sr \;\big|\; \omega \in \Omega\big\} \subseteq A. \tag{7.1}$$

Proof. Let $0 < \epsilon < d^*_{(R,\cdot)}(A)$, and let $n,m \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $M \geq \ell(n,m,\epsilon)$ from Theorem 2.10. Using that R is affinely rich in $(S,+)$, set $\ell = \ell(n,M)$ from Definition 2.7. Let $(s_i)_{i=1}^{\ell} \subseteq S$. There exists a variable M-space $\eta : [n]^M \to [n]^{\ell}$ and $s \in S$ for which

$$F := \big\{\pi(\eta(\omega)) + s \;\big|\; \omega \in [n]^M\big\} \subseteq R,$$

where $\pi : [n]^{\ell} \to S$ is defined by $\pi(\omega) = (\omega_1 - 1)s_1 + \cdots + (\omega_{\ell-1} - 1)s_\ell$. Define the multiset $\mathfrak{F} : F \to \mathbb{N}$ by

$$\mathfrak{F}(f) = \big|\{\omega \in [n]^M \mid \pi(\eta(\omega)) + s = f\}\big|.$$

Since $d^*_{(R,\cdot)}(A) > \epsilon$, Theorem 3.2 gives that there exists an $r \in R$ such that

$$\epsilon|\mathfrak{F}| \leq |\mathfrak{F} \cap Ar^{-1}| = \big|\{\omega \in [n]^M \mid \pi(\eta(\omega)) + x \in Ar^{-1}\}\big|,$$

where Ar^{-1} is computed in $(R,\cdot)$. Since $|\mathfrak{F}| = n^M$ and $M \geq \ell(n,m,\epsilon)$, Theorem 2.10 gives that there exists a variable m-space $\xi : [n]^m \to [n]^M$ such that

$$\{\pi(\eta(\xi(\omega))) + s \mid \omega \in [n]^m\} \subseteq Ar^{-1}, \tag{7.2}$$

where now we can regard Ar^{-1} as computed in $(S,\cdot)$. Since $\eta \circ \xi : [n]^m \to [n]^{\ell}$ is a variable m-space, its image Ω is a combinatorial m-space. Moreover, the containment in (7.1) follows from the containment in (7.2). □

This theorem says that sets of positive multiplicative density contain arbitrarily long, arbitrarily high dimensional additive combinatorial cubes; examples of such sets are given in Examples 2.8. It is interesting to note that while the set A in Theorem 7.1 is guaranteed to have plenty of additive patterns, it need not have additive density. Indeed, Lemma 8.6 shows that there are sets $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ with $d^*_{(\mathbb{N},\cdot)}(A) = 1$ that do not have positive additive upper Banach density.

Corollary 7.2. *Let A be a subset of positive multiplicative density in the semigroup $(\mathbb{F}_p[x] \setminus \{0\},\cdot)$. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist $f \in \mathbb{F}_p[x]$ and a vector subspace V of dimension n for which $f + V \subseteq A$.*

We will show in Section 7.2 with a bit more work that the set A in the previous corollary actually contains "geo-arithmetic" progressions.

7.1. **Arithmetic progressions in sub-semigroups of $(\mathbb{N},\cdot)$.** The technique used in the proof of Theorem 7.1 can be used in conjunction with a theorem of Green and Tao to find arbitrarily long arithmetic progressions in sets of positive multiplicative density in certain sub-semigroups of $\mathbb{N}$.

Let $L/\mathbb{Q}$ be a degree d field extension, and denote by $N_{L/\mathbb{Q}} : L \to \mathbb{Q}$ the norm and $\mathcal{O}_L$ the ring of integers of L. Fix an integral basis $(\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_d)$ for $L/\mathbb{Q}$ (that is, $(\ell_i)_{i=1}^d \subseteq \mathcal{O}_L$ is a vector space basis for $L/\mathbb{Q}$ and a $\mathbb{Z}$-module basis for $\mathcal{O}_L$). The map

$$\Psi : \mathbb{Z}^d \to \mathbb{Z}$$
$$(x_1, \ldots, x_d) \mapsto N_{L/\mathbb{Q}}\big(x_1\ell_1 + \ldots + x_d\ell_d\big)$$

is a degree d, homogeneous, integral-coefficient polynomial in d variables. We will call Ψ the *norm form of degree d arising from the extension $L/\mathbb{Q}$ and the basis $(\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_d)$*.

Examples 7.3.

(I) $\Psi(x_1, x_2) = x_1^2 + 7x_2^2$ arises from $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-7})/\mathbb{Q}$ and the basis $(1, i)$.

(II) $\Psi(x_1, x_2, x_3) = x_1^3 + ax_2^3 + a^2x_3^3 - 3ax_1x_2x_3$ arises from $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{a})/\mathbb{Q}$, where $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ is cube-free, and the basis $(1, \sqrt[3]{a}, \sqrt[3]{a^2})$.

Let Ψ be a norm form of degree d. The subset of $\mathbb{N}$ given by $|\Psi|(\mathbb{Z}^d) \setminus \{0\}$ consists of (the absolute values of) those non-zero numbers represented by Ψ. It is interesting to ask about combinatorial patterns contained in this set. Note that $\Psi(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ may have zero additive upper Banach density, so we cannot immediately appeal to the theorems discussed in the previous section.

It is shown in [GT] that any subset of the prime numbers of positive relative density (in the sense of (7.3) below) contains arbitrarily long arithmetic progressions. In the following theorem, we show that norm forms represent a positive relative density of the rational prime numbers $\mathbb{P}$.

Lemma 7.4. *Let Ψ be a norm form arising from an extension $L/\mathbb{Q}$ with class number 1. The form Ψ represents a positive relative density of the (rational) primes:*

$$\limsup_{N\to\infty} \frac{\big||\Psi|(\mathbb{Z}^d) \cap \mathbb{P} \cap \{1, \ldots, N\}\big|}{\pi(N)} > 0. \tag{7.3}$$

Proof. Let $K/\mathbb{Q}$ be the Galois closure (in $\mathbb{C}$) of $L/\mathbb{Q}$. It is a consequence of the Čebotarev Density Theorem that the Dirichlet density of rational primes $p \in \mathbb{P}$ that split completely in K exists and is positive (indeed, it is equal to $[K : \mathbb{Q}]^{-1}$); see [Cox, Pg. 170].

Let $p \in \mathbb{P}$ be a prime which splits completely in K. The prime p splits completely in L (see [Cox, Pg. 177]), so the ideal $p\mathcal{O}_L$ factors into the product $J_1 \cdots J_d$ of d prime ideals of $\mathcal{O}_L$. Since $N_{L/\mathbb{Q}}(p\mathcal{O}_L) = p^d$, the norm of the ideal J_i is p. Since L has class number 1, each J_i is principal: $J_i = j_i\mathcal{O}_L$. It follows that $|N_{L/\mathbb{Q}}(j_i)| = p$. Writing j_i in the integral basis from which Ψ arose, we see that this means that $p \in |\Psi|(\mathbb{Z}^d)$.

We have shown so far that the Dirichlet density of the set $|\Psi|(\mathbb{Z}^d) \cap \mathbb{P}$ exists and is positive. Now we need the fact that if the Dirichlet density of a set of primes exists and is positive, then the limit supremum in (7.3) is positive. This is the case indeed, since if the (relative) natural density exists, then the Dirichlet density exists and is equal to it. □

Thus by the theorem of Green and Tao, the set $|\Psi|(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ contains arbitrarily long arithmetic progressions. Since the norm $N_{L/\mathbb{Q}}$ is multiplicative, $\big(|\Psi|(\mathbb{Z}^d)\setminus\{0\},\cdot\big)$ is a sub-semigroup of $(\mathbb{Z},\cdot)$. Our aim now is to prove that subsets of the semigroup $\big(|\Psi|(\mathbb{Z}^d)\setminus\{0\},\cdot\big)$ which have positive multiplicative density contain arbitrarily long arithmetic progressions.

Theorem 7.5. *Let Ψ be a norm form arising from an extension $L/\mathbb{Q}$ with class number 1, and let $(R,\cdot)=\big(|\Psi|(\mathbb{Z}^d)\setminus\{0\},\cdot\big)$. If $A\subseteq R$ satisfies $d^*_{(R,\cdot)}(A)>0$, then A contains arbitrarily long arithmetic progressions.*

Proof. Let $\ell\in\mathbb{N}$ and $0<\epsilon<d^*_{(R,\cdot)}(A)$. By Szemerédi's theorem, there exists an $L\in\mathbb{N}$ so that any ϵ-dense subset of an arithmetic progression of length L contains an arithmetic progression of length ℓ. Let $P\subseteq R$ be an arithmetic progression of length L. Since $d^*_{(R,\cdot)}(A)>\epsilon$, Theorem 3.2 gives that there exists an $n\in R$ for which $|Pn\cap A|>\epsilon L$. It follows by our choice of L that $Pn\cap A$ contains an arithmetic progression of length ℓ. □

This technique shows that as results concerning combinatorial patterns in the semigroup $\big(|\Psi|(\mathbb{Z}^d)\setminus\{0\},\cdot\big)$ improve, so do results about multiplicatively dense subsets of it.

7.2. **Geo-arithmetic patterns in semirings.** It was shown in [Ber3, Theorem 3.15] that sets of positive multiplicative density not only have arbitrarily long arithmetic progressions, they have "geo-arithmetic patterns." In what follows, we prove a relativization of this result by showing that such geo-arithmetic patterns exist in sets with multiplicative positive density in sub-semigroups of positive additive density. First, we need the following correspondence principle and "intersectivity" lemma.

Lemma 7.6 ([BM1, Theorem 2.1])**.** *Let $(S,\cdot)$ be a countable, left amenable semigroup, λ be a left invariant mean, and $A\subseteq S$. There exists a probability measure space $(X,\mathcal{B},\mu)$, a set $U\in\mathcal{B}$ with $\mu(U)=\lambda(A)$, and an action $\{T_s\}_{s\in S}$ of $(S,\cdot)$ on X by measure preserving transformations such that for all $s_1,\dots,s_k\in S$,*

$$\mu\big(T_{s_1}^{-1}U\cap\cdots\cap T_{s_k}^{-1}U\big)=\lambda\big(s_1^{-1}A\cap\cdots\cap s_k^{-1}A\big). \tag{7.4}$$

Lemma 7.7 ([Ber4, Lemma 5.10])**.** *Let $(S,\cdot)$ be a countable semigroup satisfying (SFC) and $(X,\mathcal{B},\mu)$ be a probability measure space. Suppose $I\subseteq S$ with $d^*(I)=\delta>0$ and $\{A_i\}_{i\in I}\subseteq\mathcal{B}$ where for each $i\in I$, $\mu(A_i)\geq\alpha>0$. There exists a $J\subseteq I$ with $d^*(J)\geq\alpha\delta$ such that for all $F\in\mathcal{P}_f(J)$, $\mu\big(\cap_{i\in F}A_i\big)>0$.*

Theorem 7.8. *Let $(S,+,\cdot)$ be a countable, commutative semiring. Suppose*

$$A\subseteq(R,\cdot)\leq(S,\cdot),$$

where $d^*_{(R,\cdot)}(A)>0$, $d^*_{(S,+)}(R)>0$, and for all $r\in R$, $d^*_{(S,+)}(rS)>0$. For all $k\in\mathbb{N}$, there exists $S'\subseteq S$ with $d^*_{(S,+)}(S')>0$ such that for all $F\in\mathcal{P}_f(S')$, there exists an $x\in R$ such that

$$\big\{xf^j\;\big|\;f\in F,\ j\in\{1,\dots,k\}\big\}\subseteq A. \tag{7.5}$$

Proof. Since $(R,\cdot)$ is commutative, it is left amenable. Let λ be a left invariant mean on $(R,\cdot)$ for which $\lambda(A)>0$. By Lemma 7.6, there exists a probability space

$(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$, a $U \in \mathcal{B}$ with $\mu(U) = \lambda(A)$, and an action $\{T_r\}_{r\in R}$ of $(R, \cdot)$ on X such that for all $r_1, \dots, r_k \in R$,

$$\mu\big(T_{r_1}^{-1}U \cap \cdots \cap T_{r_k}^{-1}U\big) = \lambda\big(r_1^{-1}A \cap \cdots \cap r_k^{-1}A\big),$$

where the sets $r_i^{-1}A$ are computed in $(R, \cdot)$.

Let $T_r^{(j)} = T_r^j$. Since $(R, \cdot)$ is commutative, $T_r^{(j)} = T_{r^j}$, and $\{T_r^{(1)}\}_{r\in R}$, …, $\{T_r^{(k)}\}_{r\in R}$ are commuting actions of $(R, \cdot)$ on X. By Theorem 6.5, there exists an $\alpha > 0$ for which the set

$$R' = \big\{r \in R \;\big|\; \mu\big((T_r^{(1)})^{-1}U \cap \cdots \cap (T_r^{(k)})^{-1}U\big) > \alpha\big\} \tag{7.6}$$

is IP_0^* in $(R, \cdot)$. In particular, R' is syndetic in $(R, \cdot)$. Since $d^*_{(S,+)}(R) > 0$, there exists an $r \in R$ for which $r^{-1}R'$ (computed in $(S, \cdot)$) satisfies $d^*_{(S,+)}(r^{-1}R') > 0$. By assumption, $d^*_{(S,+)}(rS) > 0$, so Corollary 4.7 (V) gives that $d^*_{(S,+)}(R') > 0$.

For $s \in R'$, let $B_s = (T_s^{(1)})^{-1}U \cap \cdots \cap (T_s^{(k)})^{-1}U$, and note that by (7.6), $\mu(B_s) > \alpha$. Since $(S, +)$ is countable and $d^*_{(S,+)}(R') > 0$, Lemma 7.7 gives that there exists an $S' \subseteq R'$ with $d^*_{(S,+)}(S') > 0$ such that for all $F \in \mathcal{P}_f(S')$,

$$\mu\left(\bigcap_{s\in F} B_s\right) = \lambda\left(\bigcap_{s\in F}\left(s^{-1}A \cap \cdots \cap (s^k)^{-1}A\right)\right) > 0.$$

One needs only to take $x \in \bigcap_{s\in F}\left(s^{-1}A \cap \cdots \cap (s^k)^{-1}A\right)$ and unwrap the notation to get the configuration in (7.5). □

The set S' in the conclusion of this theorem has positive additive density and therefore is additively affinely rich. It follows that the finite set $F \in \mathcal{P}_f(S')$ may be taken to be any combinatorial pattern afforded by the affine richness of S'. We provide an example application of this in the following corollary.

Corollary 7.9. *Suppose $A \subseteq \mathbb{F}_p[x] \setminus \{0\}$ has positive multiplicative density in $(\mathbb{F}_p[x] \setminus \{0\}, \cdot)$. For all $k, d \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist $r, z \in \mathbb{F}_p[x]$, $r \neq 0$, and a d-dimensional vector subspace of V of $\mathbb{F}_p[x]$ such that*

$$\bigcup_{v\in V} r\{z + v, (z + v)^2, \cdots, (z + v)^k\} \subseteq A.$$

It is interesting to ask whether the countability assumptions can be removed in this subsection. There are analogues of Lemma 7.6 that can handle uncountable semigroups (see, for example, [BL2, Theorem 3.1]), so the question comes down to whether or not the countability assumption is necessary in Lemma 7.7.

8. Extremal examples

The examples in this section demonstrate the optimality of the main results in Sections 5, 6, and 7 by showing that the naive attempt to improve those results will fail even for the semiring $\mathbb{N}$. The reader is encouraged to refer to Figure 2 in which the dotted arrows indicate the examples below.

8.1. **Multiplicatively $\mathcal{IP}_0^*$ and $\mathcal{AR}^*$ but not additively $\mathcal{S}$ or $\mathcal{T}$.** The example in Lemma 8.2 below demonstrates that we cannot conclude more from multiplicative syndeticity than additive centrality.

Lemma 8.1 (cf. [BH2, Theorem 3.4])**.** *There exists an additively thick subset of $\mathbb{N}$ which does not contain any set of the form $\{kx, ky, kxy\}$ for $k \geq 1$ and $x, y \geq 2$.*

Proof. Put $A = \cup_{n\geq 1}\{x_n, \dots, y_n\}$, where $x_n^2 > y_n^2/2$, $x_n > y_{n-1}^2$, and $y_n - x_n \to \infty$. (For example, put $x_n = 2^{2^n}$ and $y_n = 2^{2^n} + n$.) The set A is additively thick. On the other hand, suppose $kx, ky \in \{x_n \dots, y_n\}$. Since $k \leq y_n/2$,

$$y_n < \frac{x_n^2}{y_n/2} \leq \frac{kxky}{k} = kxy \leq kxky \leq y_n^2 < x_{n+1}.$$

This shows that kxy is not an element of A. □

Lemma 8.2. *There exists a subset of $\mathbb{N}$ which is multiplicatively $\mathcal{IP}_0^*$ and $\mathcal{AR}^*$ but not additively syndetic or thick.*

Proof. Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ be the complement of an additively thick subset of $\mathbb{N}$ satisfying the conclusions of Lemma 8.1. The set A is not additively syndetic, but it is both multiplicatively $\mathcal{IP}_0^*$ and $\mathcal{AR}^*$.

Let $B = 3\mathbb{N} \cup (3\mathbb{N} + 1)$. The set B is clearly not additively thick. Since B intersects every multiplicative IP_2 set and every multiplicatively affinely rich set, it is multiplicatively $\mathcal{IP}_0^*$ and $\mathcal{AR}^*$.

Consider the set $C = A \cap B$. The set C is neither additively thick nor additively syndetic. Moreover, it follows from the partition regularity of the classes $\mathcal{IP}_0$ and $\mathcal{AR}$ that C is both multiplicatively $\mathcal{IP}_0^*$ and $\mathcal{AR}^*$. □

While finite index subgroups of a field are additively thick (Theorem 5.4), we see that the same is not true for "finite index" sub-semigroups of $(\mathbb{N}, \cdot)$. Indeed, the set $3\mathbb{N}$ is a sub-semigroup of $(\mathbb{N}, \cdot)$ of finite index which is not additively thick.

This leads us to ask: what property of a sub-semigroup of $(\mathbb{N}, \cdot)$ stronger than multiplicative syndeticity would imply additive thickness? While $3\mathbb{N}$ is multiplicatively syndetic, it avoids many infinite sub-semigroups of $(\mathbb{N}, \cdot)$ (indeed, $\mathbb{N} \setminus 3\mathbb{N}$ is itself a sub-semigroup of $(\mathbb{N}, \cdot)$.) This is not a property shared in the case of fields: note that any finite index subgroup Γ of the multiplicative group of a field is such that for all subgroups H, the subgroup $\Gamma \cap H$ is of finite index in H. Does a similar property in semigroups suffice?

Question 8.3. *Suppose $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ has the property that for all sub-semigroups $(R, \cdot) \leq (\mathbb{N}, \cdot)$, the set $A \cap R$ is multiplicatively syndetic in $(R, \cdot)$. Does it follow that A is additively thick?*

Since the multiplicatively even numbers $E_{\mathbb{N}}$ satisfy the condition in Question 8.3, a positive answer to this question would imply that $E_{\mathbb{N}}$ is additively thick, answering an open question.

This is related to another open problem concerning geometric progressions. In [BBHS], the question was raised as to whether or not every additively syndetic set contains arbitrarily long geometric progressions. The following ostensibly easier problem remains open.

Question 8.4. *If $B \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ is additively syndetic, does there exist $x, y \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\{x, xy, xy^2\} \subseteq B$.*

Since the multiplicatively even numbers $E_{\mathbb{N}}$ intersect any set of the form $\{x, xy, xy^2\}$, a positive answer to Question 8.4 would imply that $E_{\mathbb{N}}$ is additively thick. In fact, a positive answer to Question 8.3 would imply a positive answer to Question 8.4. Indeed, suppose $C \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ is a set that intersects all tuples $\{x, xy, xy^2\}$ in $\mathbb{N}$. Then $C \cap R$ is syndetic in any sub-semigroup $(R, \cdot)$ (for any $r \in R$, $R = r^{-1}C \cup (r^2)^{-1}C \cup (r^3)^{-1}C$), and so it is additively thick. Now, if B is additively syndetic, then $\mathbb{N} \setminus B$ is not additively thick, so there exists $\{x, xy, xy^2\}$ contained in B, as desired.

8.2. **Multiplicatively $\mathcal{T}$ but not additively $\mathcal{D}$ or $\mathcal{IP}$.** A subset of $\mathbb{N}$ is multiplicatively thick if and only if it contains arbitrarily long arithmetic progressions starting from zero. If those progressions are chosen to be more and more divisible (in the sense of the following lemma), then the multiplicatively thick set need not have additive density or be additively IP.

Lemma 8.5. *Let $(d_i)_{i=1}^{\infty} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ be such that $d_i \to \infty$ as $i \to \infty$ and for all $i \leq j$, $d_i | d_j$. Let $(A_i)_{i=1}^{\infty} \subseteq \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N})$, and put $A = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} d_i A_i$. The set A has zero additive density and is not an additive IP set.*

Proof. Let $\epsilon > 0$ and choose $k \in \mathbb{N}$ so that $d_k^{-1} < \epsilon$. By the divisibility condition, the set $\cup_{i=k}^{\infty} d_i A_i \subseteq d_k \mathbb{N}$, which has upper Banach density less than ϵ. Since $\cup_{i=1}^{k-1} d_i A_i$ has zero upper Banach density, the sub-additivity of $d^*_{(\mathbb{N},+)}$ gives that $d^*_{(\mathbb{N},+)}(A) < \epsilon$.

To show that A is not an additive IP set, it suffices to see that for all $x \neq 0$, $|A \cap (A - x)| < \infty$; that is, that any difference of elements of A appears only finitely often. Fix $x \in \mathbb{N}$, and set

$$X = 1 + \max\{a \mid a \in A_n \text{ where } n \text{ such that } d_n \leq x\}.$$

It suffices to show that for all $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, if $d_n A_n \cap (d_m A_m - x)$ is non-empty, then $n, m \leq xX$.

Suppose $d_n A_n \cap (d_m A_m - x) \neq \emptyset$. There exists $a_m \in A_m$ and $a_n \in A_n$ such that

$$x = d_m a_m - d_n a_n.$$

If $m \leq n$, then $x = d_m(a_m - a_n d_n / d_m)$. Since $x \geq 1$, $d_m \leq x$, whereby $a_n d_n / d_m \leq a_m$. It follows that $d_n \leq d_m a_m \leq xX$. If $n \leq m$, then $x = d_n(a_m d_m / d_n - a_n)$, whereby $d_n \leq x$. Then $d_m \leq a_m d_m = x + d_n a_n \leq xX$. □

It follows from the previous lemma that

$$A = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} 2^i \{1, \ldots, i\}$$

is an example of a set which is multiplicatively thick but which has zero additive density and is not additively IP. In fact, even more is true. We show in the next lemma that full density along no Følner sequence is enough to guarantee positive additive density. Recall the definition of a Følner sequence in Definition 3.4 (III).

Lemma 8.6. *For any Følner sequence $(F_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N})$ for $(\mathbb{N}, \cdot)$, there exists a set $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ satisfying*

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{|A \cap F_n|}{|F_n|} = 1, \ d^*_{(\mathbb{N},+)}(A) = 0, \text{ and } A \text{ is not additively IP.}$$

Proof. Let $N_0 = 1$ and note that for all $i \geq N_0$, $|2^0 F_i \cap F_i| \geq (1 - 1/2^0)|F_i|$. Having chosen $N_0 < \cdots < N_k$, choose $N_{k+1} > N_k$ such that for all $i \geq N_{k+1}$, $|2^{k+1} F_i \cap F_i| \geq (1 - 1/2^{k+1})|F_i|$.

For $i \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\varphi(i) \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $N_{\varphi(i)} \leq i < N_{\varphi(i+1)}$. Note that $\varphi(i) \to \infty$ as $i \to \infty$ and that $|2^{\varphi(i)} F_i \cap F_i| \geq (1 - 1/2^{\varphi(i)})|F_i|$. Put $A = \cup_i 2^{\varphi(i)} F_i$. By Lemma 8.5, A has zero upper Banach density and is not additively IP.

To prove that the limit in the conclusion is equal to one, let $\epsilon > 0$ and choose k so that $2^{-k} < \epsilon$. For all $i \geq N_k$,

$$|A \cap F_i| \geq (1 - 1/2^{\varphi(i)})|F_i| \geq (1 - 1/2^k)|F_i| \geq (1 - \epsilon)|F_i|.$$

The result follows since ϵ was arbitrary. □

8.3. **Multiplicatively $\mathcal{IP}$ but not additively $\mathcal{IP}_0$ or $\mathcal{AR}$.** For any prime p, the set $A = \mathbb{N} \setminus p\mathbb{N}$ is multiplicatively IP. Indeed, it is an (infinitely generated) sub-semigroup of $(\mathbb{N}, \cdot)$. The set A is not additively IP_0: given any p elements of A, some subsum of them is divisible by p. The set A, however, does contain infinitely long arithmetic progressions, and so it is affinely rich in $(\mathbb{N}, +)$.

The following lemma shows that finitely generated sub-semigroups of $(\mathbb{N}, \cdot)$ provide the type of example we seek.

Lemma 8.7. *Any finitely generated sub-semigroup of $(\mathbb{N}, \cdot)$ is multiplicatively IP but neither additively affinely rich nor IP_0.*

Proof. Let A be a finitely generated sub-semigroup of $(\mathbb{N}, \cdot)$. The set A contains $\mathrm{FP}(a, a, \ldots)$, whereby A is IP in $(\mathbb{N}, \cdot)$. Since A is finitely generated, there exists a prime p for which $A \cap p\mathbb{N} = \emptyset$. This shows that A is not additively IP_p, hence not additively IP_0.

We will prove that finitely generated sub-semigroups of $(\mathbb{N}, \cdot)$ are not affinely rich by showing that they do not contain arbitrarily long arithmetic progressions. This is accomplished by induction on the number of generators. The base case is simple: for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the set $\{n^e \mid e \in \mathbb{N}\}$ does not contain arithmetic progressions of length greater than two.

Suppose that for all $n_1, \ldots, n_k \in \mathbb{N}$, the set $\{n_1^{e_1} \cdots n_k^{e_k} \mid e_1, \ldots, e_k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ does not contain arbitrarily long arithmetic progressions. Let $n_1, \ldots, n_{k+1} \in \mathbb{N}$ and put

$$A = \left\{ n_1^{e_1} \cdots n_{k+1}^{e_{k+1}} \;\middle|\; e_1, \ldots, e_{k+1} \in \mathbb{N} \right\}.$$

Suppose A has an arithmetic progression of length $L \geq 3n_1$, and let $P \subseteq A$ be an arithmetic progression of length L of minimal step size d. It cannot be that $P \subseteq n_1\mathbb{N} \cap A$ since otherwise A/n_1 would contain a length L arithmetic progression of shorter step. Let $a \in A \setminus n_1\mathbb{N}$, and note that

$$(a + n_1 d\mathbb{Z}) \cap A \subseteq A \setminus n_1\mathbb{N} \subseteq \left\{ n_2^{e_2} \cdots n_{k+1}^{e_{k+1}} \;\middle|\; e_2, \ldots, e_{k+1} \in \mathbb{N} \right\}.$$

This means an arithmetic progression of length at least L/n_1 is contained in a finitely generated sub-semigroup of $(\mathbb{N}, \cdot)$ with k generators. By the induction hypothesis, L/n_1 is bounded from above by a function of k; in particular, A does not contain arbitrarily long arithmetic progressions, completing the inductive step. □

8.4. **Multiplicatively $\mathcal{D}$ but not additively $\mathcal{IP}_0$.** Consider the action $(T_s)_{s \in \mathbb{N}}$ of the semigroup $(\mathbb{N}, \cdot)$ on the torus $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ given by $T_s x = sx \pmod 1$. This action is ergodic and can be used in conjunction with the mean ergodic theorem à la von

Neumann to generate examples of sets with high multiplicative density which are not additively IP_0.

Lemma 8.8. *Let $\epsilon > 0$. There exists a set $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ with $d^*_{(\mathbb{N},\cdot)}(A) \geq 1-\epsilon$ but which is not additively IP_0.*

Proof. Fix a Følner sequence $(F_n)_{n=1}^\infty \subseteq \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N})$ for $(\mathbb{N},\cdot)$. Since the action $(T_s)_{s\in\mathbb{N}}$ of the semigroup $(\mathbb{N},\cdot)$ on the torus $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ described above is ergodic, the mean ergodic theorem gives the L^2 convergence

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{F_n} \sum_{s\in F_n} \mathbb{1}_{(\epsilon/2,1-\epsilon/2)} \circ T_s = \int_{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{1}_{(\epsilon/2,1-\epsilon/2)} = 1-\epsilon.$$

Any L^2 convergent sequence has a pointwise a.e. convergent subsequence. By passing to such a subsequence, for Lebesgue a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$,

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{1}{F_{n_k}} \sum_{s\in F_{n_k}} \mathbb{1}_{(\epsilon/2,1-\epsilon/2)}(T_s x) = \lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{\left|\{s\in\mathbb{N} \mid \|sx\| > \epsilon/2\} \cap F_{n_k}\right|}{|F_{n_k}|} = 1-\epsilon.$$

Since $(F_{n_k})_{k=1}^\infty \subseteq \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N})$ is a Følner sequence for $(\mathbb{N},\cdot)$, for any point $x \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ for which there is convergence, the set

$$A = \left\{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid \|nx\| > \epsilon/2\right\}$$

is such that $d^*_{(\mathbb{N},\cdot)}(A) \geq 1-\epsilon$. On the other hand, Dirichlet's classical pigeonhole principle argument shows that the set $\mathbb{N}\setminus A$ is IP^*_r (actually, Δ^*_r) in $(\mathbb{N},+)$ for any $r > 2\epsilon^{-1}$. Therefore, A is not IP_0 in $(\mathbb{N},+)$. □

This lemma is optimal in the sense that if $d^*_{(\mathbb{N},\cdot)}(A) = 1$, then A is multiplicatively thick and hence, by Theorem 6.1, additively IP_0.

8.5. **Multiplicatively $\mathcal{AR}$ but not additively $\mathcal{AR}$.** It was shown in Theorem 7.1 that sets of positive multiplicative density contain many additive combinatorial patterns. We show in the following lemma that the same is not true for sets that are only assumed to be multiplicatively affine rich.

Lemma 8.9. *There exists a subset of $\mathbb{N}$ which is affinely rich in $(\mathbb{N},\cdot)$ but which has no three term arithmetic progressions; in particular, it is not additively affinely rich.*

Proof. To each tuple of natural numbers in the collection

$$\mathscr{T} = \left\{(n,m,d_1,\ldots,d_m) \;\middle|\; d_1 \geq 2 \text{ and } \forall i \in \{2,\ldots,m\},\ d_i \geq (d_{i-1})^n\right\}$$

associate the finite set

$$A_{(n,m,\mathbf{d})} = \left\{d_1^{e_1}\cdots d_m^{e_m} \;\middle|\; 0 \leq e_i \leq n\right\}.$$

By the growth condition on the sequence of d_i's, it is easy to see that no $A_{(n,m,d_i)}$ contains a three term arithmetic progression. Moreover, since $\mathscr{T}$ is countable, there exists a function $x : \mathscr{T} \to \mathbb{N}$ for which the set

$$A = \{1\} \cup \bigcup_{(n,m,\mathbf{d})\in\mathscr{T}} x_{(n,m,\mathbf{d})} A_{(n,m,\mathbf{d})}$$

contains no three term arithmetic progression.

We claim that A is affinely rich in $(\mathbb{N},\cdot)$. To this end, let $n,m \in \mathbb{N}$ and set $L = m+n+\ldots+n^{m-1}$. Let $(s_i)_{i=1}^L \subseteq \mathbb{N}$; we may assume without loss of generality

that $s_1 \leq \cdots \leq s_L$. If $s_m = 1$, then we may choose $\Omega \subseteq [n]^L$ to be the image of the variable word $t_1 t_2 \cdots t_m 1 \cdots 1$. Indeed, it would follow that

$$\left\{ s_1^{\omega_1 - 1} \cdots s_L^{\omega_L - 1} \;\middle|\; \omega \in \Omega \right\} \subseteq \{1\} \subseteq A.$$

Suppose $s_m \geq 2$. We claim that we can take $\Omega \subseteq [n]^L$ to be the image of the variable word

$$\underbrace{1 \; \cdots \; 1}_{m-1} \; t_1 \; \underbrace{t_2 \; \cdots \; t_2}_{n} \; \underbrace{t_3 \; \cdots \; t_3}_{n^2} \; \cdots \; \underbrace{t_m \; \cdots \; t_m}_{n^{m-1}}.$$

Indeed, put $d_1 = s_m$ and, for $i \in \{2, \ldots, m\}$, $d_i = s_{m+n+\cdots+n^{i-2}+1} \cdots s_{m+n+\cdots+n^{i-1}}$. It is quickly verified that $(n, m, \mathbf{d}) \in \mathscr{T}$ and that

$$\left\{ s_1^{\omega_1 - 1} \cdots s_L^{\omega_L - 1} x_{(n,m,\mathbf{d})} \;\middle|\; \omega \in \Omega \right\} \subseteq x_{(n,m,\mathbf{d})} A_{(n,m,\mathbf{d})} \subseteq A.$$

This shows that A is affinely rich in $(\mathbb{N}, \cdot)$. □

References

[AW] L. N. Argabright and C. O. Wilde. Semigroups satisfying a strong Følner condition. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 18:587–591, 1967.

[Bak] R. C. Baker. Weyl sums and Diophantine approximation. *J. London Math. Soc. (2)*, 25(1):25–34, 1982.

[BBHS] M. Beiglböck, V. Bergelson, N. Hindman, and D. Strauss. Multiplicative structures in additively large sets. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 113(7):1219–1242, 2006.

[BE] Y. Buttkewitz and C. Elsholtz. Patterns and complexity of multiplicative functions. *J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2)*, 84(3):578–594, 2011.

[Ber1] V. Bergelson. Ergodic Ramsey theory. In *Logic and combinatorics (Arcata, Calif., 1985)*, volume 65 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 63–87. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1987.

[Ber2] V. Bergelson. Ergodic theory and Diophantine problems. In *Topics in symbolic dynamics and applications (Temuco, 1997)*, volume 279 of *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.*, pages 167–205. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2000.

[Ber3] V. Bergelson. Multiplicatively large sets and ergodic Ramsey theory. *Israel J. Math.*, 148:23–40, 2005. Probability in mathematics.

[Ber4] V. Bergelson. Combinatorial and Diophantine applications of ergodic theory. In *Handbook of dynamical systems. Vol. 1B*, pages 745–869. Elsevier B. V., Amsterdam, 2006. Appendix A by A. Leibman and Appendix B by Anthony Quas and Máté Wierdl.

[Ber5] V. Bergelson. Ultrafilters, IP sets, dynamics, and combinatorial number theory. In *Ultrafilters across mathematics*, volume 530 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 23–47. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2010.

[BG] V. Bergelson and D. Glasscock. Multiplicative richness of additively large sets in $\mathbb{Z}^d$. *Available at* `arxiv.org`, 2016.

[BH1] V. Bergelson and N. Hindman. Nonmetrizable topological dynamics and Ramsey theory. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 320(1):293–320, 1990.

[BH2] V. Bergelson and N. Hindman. On IP* sets and central sets. *Combinatorica*, 14(3):269–277, 1994.

[BH3] V. Bergelson and N. Hindman. Partition regular structures contained in large sets are abundant. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 93(1):18–36, 2001.

[BL1] V. Bergelson and A. Leibman. Set-polynomials and polynomial extension of the Hales-Jewett theorem. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 150(1):33–75, 1999.

[BL2] V. Bergelson and A. Leibman. Cubic averages and large intersections. In *Recent trends in ergodic theory and dynamical systems*, volume 631 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 5–19. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2015.

[BLZ] V. Bergelson, A. Leibman, and T. Ziegler. The shifted primes and the multidimensional Szemerédi and polynomial van der Waerden theorems. *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris*, 349(3-4):123–125, 2011.

[BM1] V. Bergelson and R. McCutcheon. Recurrence for semigroup actions and a non-commutative Schur theorem. In *Topological dynamics and applications (Minneapolis, MN, 1995)*, volume 215 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 205–222. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1998.

[BM2] V. Bergelson and J. Moreira. Measure preserving actions of affine semigroups and {x+y,xy} patterns. *ArXiv e-prints*, September 2015.

[BR] V. Bergelson and D. Robertson. Polynomial recurrence with large intersection over countable fields. *Israel J. Math.*, 214(1):109–120, 2016.

[Cox] D. A. Cox. *Primes of the form x^2+ny^2*. A Wiley-Interscience Publication. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1989. Fermat, class field theory and complex multiplication.

[Dav] H. Davenport. *Analytic methods for Diophantine equations and Diophantine inequalities*. Cambridge Mathematical Library. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, second edition, 2005. With a foreword by R. C. Vaughan, D. R. Heath-Brown and D. E. Freeman, Edited and prepared for publication by T. D. Browning.

[FK1] H. Furstenberg and Y. Katznelson. An ergodic Szemerédi theorem for IP-systems and combinatorial theory. *J. Analyse Math.*, 45:117–168, 1985.

[FK2] H. Furstenberg and Y. Katznelson. IP_r-sets, Szemerédi's theorem, and Ramsey theory. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)*, 14(2):275–278, 1986.

[FK3] H. Furstenberg and Y. Katznelson. A density version of the Hales-Jewett theorem. *J. Anal. Math.*, 57:64–119, 1991.

[Fur1] H. Furstenberg. Ergodic behavior of diagonal measures and a theorem of Szemerédi on arithmetic progressions. *J. Analyse Math.*, 31:204–256, 1977.

[Fur2] H. Furstenberg. *Recurrence in ergodic theory and combinatorial number theory*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1981. M. B. Porter Lectures.

[FW] H. Furstenberg and B. Weiss. Topological dynamics and combinatorial number theory. *J. Analyse Math.*, 34:61–85 (1979), 1978.

[GB] R. Graham and S. Butler. *Rudiments of Ramsey theory*, volume 123 of *CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics*. Published for the Conference Board of the Mathematical Sciences, Washington, DC; by the American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, second edition, 2015.

[Gri] J. T. Griesmer. Recurrence, rigidity, and popular differences. *ArXiv e-prints*, September 2015.

[GT] B. Green and T. Tao. The primes contain arbitrarily long arithmetic progressions. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 167(2):481–547, 2008.

[Hin] N. Hindman. Finite sums from sequences within cells of a partition of N. *J. Combinatorial Theory Ser. A*, 17:1–11, 1974.

[HS1] N. Hindman and D. Strauss. Density in arbitrary semigroups. *Semigroup Forum*, 73(2):273–300, 2006.

[HS2] N. Hindman and D. Strauss. Density and invariant means in left amenable semigroups. *Topology Appl.*, 156(16):2614–2628, 2009.

[HS3] N. Hindman and D. Strauss. *Algebra in the Stone-Čech compactification*. de Gruyter Textbook. Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, 2012. Theory and applications, Second revised and extended edition [of MR1642231].

[JR] J. H. Johnson and F. K. Richter. Revisiting the Nilpotent Polynomial Hales-Jewett Theorem. *ArXiv e-prints*, July 2016.

[KN] L. Kuipers and H. Niederreiter. *Uniform distribution of sequences*. Wiley-Interscience [John Wiley & Sons], New York-London-Sydney, 1974. Pure and Applied Mathematics.

[Niv] I. Niven. *Numbers: rational and irrational*, volume 1 of *New Mathematical Library*. Random House, New York-Toronto, 1961.

[Pat] A. L. T. Paterson. *Amenability*, volume 29 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1988.

[Rad] R. Rado. Studien zur Kombinatorik. *Math. Z.*, 36(1):424–470, 1933.

[Sch] I. Schur. Über die Kongruenz $x^m + y^m \equiv z^m \pmod{p}$. *Jahresber. Dtsch. Math.-Ver.*, 25:114–117, 1916.

[Sze] E. Szemerédi. On sets of integers containing no k elements in arithmetic progression. *Acta Arith.*, 27:199–245, 1975. Collection of articles in memory of Jurii Vladimirovič Linnik.

[vdW] B. L. van der Waerden. Beweis einer Baudetschen Vermutung. *Nieuw Arch. Wiskd., II. Ser.*, 15:212–216, 1927.

Department of Mathematics, The Ohio State University, 231 W. 18th Ave., Columbus, OH 43210

E-mail address: vitaly@math.osu.edu and glasscock.4@math.osu.edu